Chapter highlights

- **Purpose:** This chapter provides discussion of how to create an effective and well-prepared information technology (IT) contract document.
- **Key points:**
  - The formation of an effective contract starts while drafting the solicitation.
  - All IT contracts should promote excellence in supplier performance.
  - Due to the nature of technology procurement, and the many risks associated with these public investments, there are many specific contractual provisions that must be included in a technology contract which agencies do not normally use for non-technology purchases.
  - The lead procurement professional assigned to a technology contract is accountable for ensuring the inclusion of relevant federal and Code of Virginia contract provisions and any VITA-required IT specific contractual terms.
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### 25.0 Introduction

Every acquisition of information technology (IT) goods and services needs an appropriate contract. Every acquisition requires written technical, legal, administrative and financial agreements between the parties. Contract formation requires mutual consent to agreeable terms by both parties, generally manifested by an offer and acceptance. This chapter provides Virginia Public Procurement Act and ViTA requirements and includes guidelines to cover the components of a successful IT contract.

The formation of an effective starts with the drafting of the solicitation. All terms and conditions that the agency intends to include in the contract should be incorporated into a proposed contract that is included in the solicitation. If the agency attempts to insert substantive contract provisions after proposals are received or during negotiations, suppliers may need to revise pricing or other proposal elements. Agencies should always provide the desired resulting contract in the solicitation package.
25.1 Statutory provisions relating to contract formation

There are certain types of contracts or potential suppliers which are prohibited by the Code of Virginia (refer to § 2.2-4321.1). Those contracts and/or suppliers are as follows:

- No state agency shall contract for goods or service with a supplier or any affiliate of the supplier if the supplier fails or refuses to collect and remit sales tax or fails or refuses to remit any tax due. This will not apply if the supplier has entered into a payment agreement with the Department of Taxation to pay the tax and is not delinquent under the terms of the agreement or has appealed the assessment of the tax and the appeal is pending. Agencies may contract with these suppliers in the event of an emergency or if supplier is the sole source of needed goods and services. The Department of General Services shall post public notice of all prohibited sources on its public internet procurement website and on other appropriate websites.

- A public contract may include provisions for modification of the contract during performance, but no fixed price contract may be increased by more than 25% of the amount of the contract or $50,000, whichever is greater.

- Any public body may extend the term of an existing contract for services to allow completion of any work undertaken but not completed during the original term.

- Contract pricing arrangements: Public contracts may be awarded on a fixed price or cost reimbursement basis. Except in the case of emergency affecting the public health, safety or welfare, no public contract shall be awarded on the basis of cost plus a percentage of cost.

Additionally, an agency may not award a contract to a supplier, including its affiliates and all subcontractors if they are excluded on the federal government’s System for Award Management (SAM) at https://www.vita.virginia.gov/supply-chain/scm-policies-forms/#sam; or, who is not registered in eVA at time of award.

Section 2.2-5514 of the Code of Virginia prohibits agencies from using, whether directly or through work with or on behalf of another public body, any hardware, software, or services that have been prohibited by the U.S. Department of Homeland Security for use on federal systems.

25.2 The Offer

An offer is an expression of willingness to contract with the intention that the offer shall become binding on the party making the offer (the supplier) as soon as it is accepted by the party receiving the offer (the agency). An offer gives the agency the ability to form a contract by an appropriate acceptance.

An offer is not valid until received by the agency. If the offer has a stated time within which the acceptance must be made, any attempted acceptance after the expiration of that time will not be successful. Instead, the agency will be considered to have made a counter-offer that the original supplier can accept or reject. Generally, the time for accepting an offer begins to run from the time it is received by the agency. If there was a delay in delivery of the offer and the agency is aware of the delay, the usual inference is that the time runs from the date on which the agency would have received the offer under reasonable circumstances. If no specific time is stated within which the agency must accept, it is assumed that the supplier intended to keep the offer open for a reasonable
period of time, to be determined based on the nature of the proposed contract, prior dealings, trade usage and other circumstances of which the agency knows or should know.

Most Commonwealth solicitations require that an offer (bid or proposal) be valid for 90 to 120 days after the bid or proposal due date. This timeframe should accommodate the expected time for the agency to conduct evaluations, prepare the contractual document, as well as any pre-award phases including steering committee, Office of Attorney General (OAG) review, VITA Enterprise Cloud Oversight Services (ECOS), VITA high risk contract review and/or CIO or Secretary of Administration in unique situations and approval and receipt of any pending budget.

25.2.1 Revocation of an offer
An offer is generally revocable by the supplier at any time prior to acceptance. An offer may be revoked by any words that communicate to the agency that the supplier no longer intends to be bound by the offer. An offer is also revoked by any action by the supplier that is inconsistent with the intent to be bound once the agency learns of such inconsistent action. A revocation is effective upon receipt by the agency.

25.2.2 Termination of an offer
An agency cannot accept an offer under these circumstances:

- The death or insanity of the supplier, even without notice to the agency of such occurrence.
- The agency’s rejection of the offer, which cannot be reinstated by the agency’s subsequent attempted acceptance.
- The agency’s counter-offer, which implies a rejection of the original offer.
- Revocation of the offer by the supplier.
- Expiration of the offer.

25.2.3 Rejection of an offer
A rejection of an offer is effective upon receipt by the supplier.

25.3 Acceptance of an offer
A contract is formed when acceptance of the offer is sent.

25.3.1 Acceptance by silence
Silence may not constitute an acceptance except where, based on prior dealings between the parties, it is reasonable that the agency should notify the supplier if it does not intend to accept. Also, where the supplier has given the agency reason to understand that agency’s acceptance may be manifested by silence or inaction, and the agency remains silent, that is tantamount to acceptance of supplier’s offer.

25.3.2 Notice of acceptance
The supplier is entitled to notice of the acceptance. Thus, even if the agency effectively accepts an offer and a contract is formed, failure by the agency to notify the supplier of the acceptance within a reasonable time may preclude the supplier from enforcing the contract.

25.3.3 Notice of acceptance by performance
When an offer invites acceptance by performance, the supplier is not required to provide notice to accept the offer, unless the supplier so specifies. Agencies should not
communicate or agree to acceptance by performance, but should always have a written contract
document or purchase order which memorializes the terms of the transaction. In transactions for the
sale of goods, where commencement of performance may be used to communicate acceptance, if the
supplier is not notified of acceptance within a reasonable time, it may treat the offer as having lapsed
prior to acceptance. However, if an agency has reason to know that the supplier does not have means of
learning that performance has begun, the supplier’s contractual duty will be discharged unless:

- The agency exercises reasonable diligence to notify the supplier of acceptance;
- The supplier learns of the performance within a reasonable time;
or
- The offer indicates that notification of the acceptance is not necessary.

25.3.4 Notice of acceptance by return of promise
Where the agency accepts by promise, the agency must exercise reasonable diligence to notify the
supplier of the acceptance or ensure that the supplier receives the acceptance. All public body
transactions are required to be memorialized by a written contract or purchase order.

25.3.5 When acceptance becomes effective
An acceptance becomes effective when:

- Absent the offer specifying when the acceptance is effective, acceptance is effective
  when sent, if sent by reasonable means.
- If an acceptance is sent by means that are not appropriate or reasonable under the
  circumstances or if it is improperly dispatched, the acceptance will be effective upon
  receipt.
- In the case of option contracts, an acceptance is not effective until received by the
  supplier.

25.3.6 Terms of acceptance
An acceptance is sufficient even if it contains additional or different terms from those offered that
result from mutually accepted and agreed negotiations by both parties.

25.3.7 Acceptance of terms on packaging and in shrinkwrap and clickwrap
Standard terms presented on or within product packaging present special problems with respect to
contract formation. When a shrinkwrap package containing a software program contains a printed
warning stating that unwrapping the package constitutes consent to the terms of the license therein,
those licenses terms may or may not be binding depending on the jurisdiction interpreting such
licenses. Under the Uniform Computer Information Transactions Act UCITA, which has been partially
enacted in Virginia, such software license terms are binding on the licensee. Where software is
downloaded from the internet, with the licensee being required to click on the “I agree” button indicating
agreement to the licensor’s terms, such conduct is deemed to be a binding acceptance of the licensor’s
offer.

25.4 Forming an IT contract

25.4.1 The contract document
An IT contract can be a simple purchase order (PO) and include only eVA PO terms located at:
http://www.eva.state.va.us/eva-order-terms/eva-order-terms.htm, be based
on an invitation for bid (IFB) that has a nominal set of terms and conditions which are non-negotiable, or can be a negotiated agreement based on a complex request for proposal (RFP) process.

VITA has a master library of standard definitions, standard contract clauses and specific terms and conditions that are appropriate for these different IT procurement types—

- Services
- COTS Software and Maintenance
- Hardware and Maintenance
- Solution
- Cloud Services
- Telecommunications
- License Agreement Addendum for EULA

These terms can be selected for the appropriate procurement type(s) by SCM sourcing consultants through VITA’s contract management system during solicitation/contract development.

For other agencies, “major” IT procurements require the use of the requirements found in the VITA Minimum Contractual Requirements for “Major” Technology Projects and Delegated Procurements, while agencies delegated by VITA with authority to conduct an IT procurement are encouraged to use them. These may be found in Appendix A, by following the link to the appropriate VITA SCM webpage: https://www.vita.virginia.gov/media/vitavirgiiniagov/supply-chain/docs/Min-Requirements-Agency-Delegated-RFPs-Contracts-2019-07-01.xlsx

For Software as a Service (SaaS) or cloud-based contracts, a mandatory set of cloud terms must be included in the contract. These may be obtained by contacting: SCMinfo@vita.virginia.gov

25.4.2 General guidelines for a successful IT contract
The key to success in forming any IT contract is setting and meeting the agency’s business needs and project’s expectations. This can be accomplished by the designation of a joint steering committee to manage the contract’s success; identifying individuals for both parties who will have responsibility for the project; continual dialog and open discussion of problems; keeping the contract up-to-date with an effective change-control process; and requiring the supplier to provide early and frequent progress reports inorder to minimize the potential for surprises. According to IT contract experts, most IT contract troubles result from one of the following scenarios:

- Supplier makes unrealistic commitments (e.g., performance guarantees, unachievable schedules, fixed price contracts without the required analysis) or supplier underestimates labor time, costs, risks.
- There is no firm contractual baseline (e.g., unclear requirements, terms and conditions and statements of work) in the contract.
- The supplier does not manage the agency relationship (i.e., the working relationship must be continually enhanced and problems must not be hidden).
- The contract does not contain a procedure and process for managing change (i.e., no formal change management process).
The following industry best-practice considerations should be used when forming an IT contract:

- A successful IT contract will include all technical and administrative expectations and commitments from both parties. The contract should include procedures for quality reviews, testing, measurement of progress, performance capture and reporting, defect management, change request processing, upgrades and problem escalation. The agency should consider its needs with respect to supplier reliability, performance, functionality, compatibility, lifespan, security compliance, support and cost.
- In order to reduce the likelihood of failure, the contract should be as specific as possible. Contract failure can be avoided by making sure both sides agree upon a common, written set of definitions, specifications, and time tables with regards to the services or systems being procured. As questions and issues arise, both sides can refer to and, if necessary, revise the document.
- The supplier looks to define its contractual obligations while the agency seeks to solve business issues. To deal with this difference in perspectives, the contract should include conflict and change provisions. For instance, the contract agreement might call for monthly meetings to review performance, problems and successes. This encourages supplier management and helps the parties deal with issues before they become major problems.
- The agency should monitor all IT contracts carefully, especially contracts for IT services. In most IT contracts, there is a service or support element involved, and suppliers should be held to their performance/response time contractual guarantees and promised service levels beyond the initial implementation period. If the supplier is not providing adequate service or not meeting agreed upon service levels, if the product is not operating as promised, or if the agency usage is not as high as anticipated, the agency may receive a partial refund or request a credit or higher discount.
- There is an advantage in agreeing to a detailed service level agreement (SLA) that confirms what exactly supplier responsibilities are under the contract. A good SLA will reflect common sense project discussions and seek a balance of interests and incentives.
- Pricing should adapt to certain contract changes, for example, changes in services, optional services and revised or unmet SLA. Another adaptive price modality would include subscription-based services as in Software as a Service procurements where pay-as-you-go pricing (or scalable pricing) should be included in the pricing so that agencies only pay for the actual number of users of the service. If contract prices are fixed over a period then price increases, and sometimes decreases, may need consideration. Agencies should seek to limit increases to the rate of inflation and can even include a cap; i.e., +/-3% of the CPI.
- Both parties should contractually agree to specific expectations, promises, and contingencies. For example, system specifications should include not just the required functionality, but should also spell out any performance requirements or constraints, compatibility requirements, anticipated lifespan, and acceptable levels of defects.
- Both parties should clearly and unambiguously define key terms, conditions, and activities such as the meaning of "beta testing" or the standards for determining whether the agency has accepted the system. In the IT world, accepting a system
can occur at many different times, such as when it has passed a series of agreed-upon tests ("acceptance testing") and has been in operation for a certain period of time with no serious defects. If all parties are not willing to define acceptance, that’s a strong warning sign that a dispute may emerge. However, the exercise of creating an SLA may flush out potential problem areas well in advance of any signing, payment, or delivery.

- All time references should be specific dates. Avoid the use of “reasonable time” or “promptly” and be specific in each party’s requirements under the contract. i.e. “within three days after (some point in time; i.e., contract award date).”
- If formulas are used within the agreement, make sure that they work.
- Do not use vague references such as “prepared to our satisfaction,” “in a timely manner,” would reasonably be expected to.” It is difficult to determine when a supplier “has performed in a timely manner.”
- Avoid using words like “materiality” and “solely” unless definitions are included.
- Carefully select use of the words “shall” (mandatory) and “may” (permissive).
- If the contract is defined as “high risk” pursuant to 2.2-4303.01 of the Code of Virginia, the contract must contain distinct and measurable performance metrics and clear enforcement provisions, including penalties and incentives, to be used in the event that contract performance metrics or other provisions are not met.

Finally, VITA recommends that all contract documents go up and down the chain of command in both parties’ organizations as needed to make sure all relevant personnel understand what is promised and what is expected and that the final contract includes all. Additionally, VITA’s Project Management and Oversight Division provides standard Commonwealth project-related templates and tools, including a Technology Management Glossary, for IT-based terminology to drive consistency throughout the Commonwealth. (Visit: https://www.vita.virginia.gov/it-governance/project-management/).

**25.5 Code of Virginia contractual requirements**

The Code of Virginia requires that certain language and requirements be included in every public body contract. In addition, there is other contract language that is required to be included in public body contracts through either policy or by an Executive Order of the Governor. Current statutory provisions dictated by the Code of Virginia are updated annually on July 1st or as legislation or policy may otherwise require. Current versions may be located at the following VITA SCM website location: https://www.vita.virginia.gov/supply-chain/mandatory-contract-terms/, at “Core Contractual Terms.”

**25.6 Federal contractual requirements**

There are certain federally mandated clauses which are to be included in all agency IT contracts if there is a possibility that federal funds may be used to procure any product or service from the contract. The assigned agency procurement professional should ensure that all federal flow-down terms are included in any procurement using federal funds. The clauses are as follows:

**25.6.1 Civil Rights Clause**

“The bidder, with his signature on this proposal, HEREBY AGREES THAT he will comply with the title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (P.L. 88-352) and all requirements imposed by or pursuant to that title, to the end that, in accordance with title VI of that Act and the Regulation, no person in the United States shall, on the ground of race, color, national origin or sex, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be otherwise
subjected to discrimination under any program or activity for which the bidder receives Federal financial assistance and HEREBY GIVES ASSURANCE THAT he will immediately take any measures necessary to effectuate this agreement.”

In addition, to the extent allowed by law, this public body does not discriminate against faith-based organizations in accordance with the Code of Virginia, § 2.2-4343.1 or against a bidder or offeror because of race, religion, color, sex, national origin, age, disability, sexual orientation, gender identity or expression, political affiliation, or status as a service disabled veteran or any other basis prohibited by state law relating to discrimination in employment.

25.6.2 Anti-Kickback Clause
Read section 52.203-7 of Subpart 52.2 of the Federal Acquisition Regulation. This certification is also required to be in the solicitation for which the contract award is made: “The offeror, by signing its offer, hereby certifies to the best of its knowledge and belief that no Federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress on its behalf in connection with the awarding of this contract.”

25.6.3 Clean Air Act
“Supplier hereby agrees to adhere to the provisions which require compliance with all applicable standards, orders or requirements issued under the Clean Air Act.”

25.6.4 Energy Policy and Conservation Act compliance
Read Subpart 23.2 of the Federal Acquisition Regulation.

25.6.5 Anti-Lobbying Act
For more information read the Lobbying Disclosure Act of 1995. Appendix C provides the Lobbying Certificate that VITA requires suppliers to sign prior to contract award. This signed form is then retained in the procurement file. The following provision must be included in VITA-issued contracts: “Supplier’s signed certification of compliance with 31 U.S.C. § 1352 (entitled "Limitation on use of appropriated funds to influence certain Federal Contracting and financial transactions") or by the regulations issued from time to time thereunder is incorporated as Exhibit XX to this Contract.”

25.6.6 Debarment Act compliance
Read Subpart 52.209-6 of the Federal Acquisition Regulation. VITA recommends:

- The following language be included in the “Termination for Breach or Default” provision of the contract: "If Supplier is found by a court of competent jurisdiction to be in violation of or to have violated 31 USC 1352 or if Supplier becomes a party excluded from Federal Procurement and Nonprocurement Programs, VITA may immediately terminate this Contract, in whole or in part, for breach, and VITA shall provide written notice to Supplier of such termination. Supplier shall provide prompt written notice to VITA if Supplier is charged with violation of 31 USC 1352 or if federal debarment proceedings are instituted against Supplier.
- This language be included in the "Ordering” provision of the contract: "Notwithstanding the foregoing, Supplier shall not accept any order from an Authorized User if such order is to be funded, in whole or in part, by federal funds and if, at the time the order is placed, Supplier is not eligible to be the recipient of"
federal funds as may be noted on any of the Lists of Parties Excluded from Federal Procurement and Nonprocurement Programs.

25.6.7 Federal Employment Eligibility Verification (E-Verify) Program
Legislative action in 2001 resulted in adoption of compliance with the federal "Illegal Immigration Reform and Immigrant Responsibility Act of 1996 amendment, operated by the U.S. Department of Homeland Security. Section 2.2-4308.2 of the Code of Virginia provides:

"(Effective December 1, 2013) Registration and use of federal employment eligibility verification program required; debarment.

A. For purposes of this section, "E-Verify program" means the electronic verification of work authorization program of the Illegal Immigration Reform and Immigrant Responsibility Act of 1996 (P.L. 104-208), Division C, Title IV, § 403(a), as amended, operated by the U.S. Department of Homeland Security, or a successor work authorization program designated by the U.S. Department of Homeland Security or other federal agency authorized to verify the work authorization status of newly hired employees under the Immigration Reform and Control Act of 1986 (P.L. 99-603).

B. Any employer with more than an average of 50 employees for the previous 12 months entering into a contract in excess of $50,000 with any agency of the Commonwealth to perform work or provide services pursuant to such contract shall register and participate in the E-Verify program to verify information and work authorization of its newly hired employees performing work pursuant to such public contract.

C. Any such employer who fails to comply with the provisions of subsection B shall be debarred from contracting with any agency of the Commonwealth for a period up to one year. Such debarment shall cease upon the employer's registration and participation in the E-Verify program."

All IT contracts and contract modifications for services, staff augmentation contractor services or statement of work resources issued on or after 12/1/2013 must include the following language: "If Supplier has an average of 50 or more employees for the previous 12 months of the date of this contract, supplier affirms that it is registers and participates in the E-Verify program."

25.6.8 Mandatory Internal Revenue Service Publication 1075 (required for federal tax information (FTI) data only)
For agency contracts that will or may include the entry, handling, processing, storage, movement, sharing, or access to FTI by a supplier or any subcontractor of supplier in any manner, IRS Publication 1075 shall apply to that Contract. The Tax Information Security Guidelines for Federal, State and Local Agencies – Exhibit 7, Safeguarding Contract Language, as appropriate, and the requirements specified in Exhibit 7 in accordance with IRC 6103(n) are included by reference and are located at this URL: https://www.vita.virginia.gov/media/vitavirginiagov/supply-chain/pdf/Mandatory_IRS_Pub_1075_for_FTI_data-1.pdf. Suppliers must acknowledge that they will comply with all applicable requirements of these terms and IRS Publication 1075 in its entirety. Non-compliance with the terms and IRS Publication 1075 may be determined, solely by the agency, as a material breach of the contract. FTI consists of federal tax returns and return information (and information derived from it) that is in the
agency’s possession or control which is covered by the confidentiality protections of the Internal Revenue Code (IRC) and subject to the IRC 6103(p)(4) safeguarding requirements including IRS oversight. FTI is categorized as Sensitive but Unclassified information and may contain personally identifiable information (PII).

25.6.9 Compliance with applicable federal information security and privacy laws Section 2.2-2009 of the Code of Virginia requires that any contract for information technology entered into by the Commonwealth’s executive, legislative, and judicial branches and independent agencies require compliance with applicable federal laws and regulations pertaining to information security and privacy.

25.6.10 Procurements funded with federal funds
When an agency conducts a procurement that is wholly or partially funded with federal funds, it is necessary to understand the federal funding source’s contractual requirements and expectations for the agency and/or for flow down to the supplier. Below is a table that offers high-level questions that you may need to consider when forming your solicitation and contract.

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Are there unique requirements from the federal funding source that need to be in the solicitation or contract?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Are there any restrictions on use or spend of this federal funding? If so, does your solicitation or contract clearly specify these?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Does the funding source require theirs or another entity’s review/approval of the solicitation or contract that could affect your procurement schedule?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Do you need to align dates of any spend requirements or deadlines that could affect schedules for any deliverables, a project plan and/or milestone payments?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Are there any contractual terms and conditions that must be included in your solicitation or contract? Are there any that must be flowed down to your supplier?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Are there any special technical specifications, regulations or rules the feds may require that you include in the solicitation or RFP?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Is there any special expenditure reporting of the funds? If yes, does this affect reporting requirements that the supplier must submit to your agency?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>Are there unique audit requirements (cost or price records) that the supplier would need to comply with? Are there any special records retention requirements to include in the solicitation or contract?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
9. Are there any unique accounting standards for suppliers to follow (which may affect pricing review/approvals)?

10. Are there any required federal forms that supplier would need to complete?

11. Are there any federal agreements (i.e., Business Associate Agreement, Non-Disclosure Agreement or other) that supplier must sign and comply with?

12. Are there any special data rights, security or work product requirements that need to be included in the solicitation or contract?

13. Are there any restrictions or requirements on the supplier’s use of subcontractors?

14. Are there any interdependencies on other state or federal entities that should be considered in the solicitation’s or contract’s schedules or deliverables?

**25.7 VITA contractual requirements**

VITA requires that all statutory requirements be included in any IT contract whether issued by VITA or when delegated to an agency for issuance (refer to Chapter 1 of this manual for more information). VITA’s website, [https://www.vita.virginia.gov/supply-chain/mandatory-contract-terms/](https://www.vita.virginia.gov/supply-chain/mandatory-contract-terms/), provides required VITA terms and required eVA terms. These are updated annually to incorporate statutory changes. IT contracts should include the active link to these rather than full text as suppliers must comply with then-current versions, as updated, during contract performance.

**25.7.1 Requirements for SCM strategic sourcing professionals**

VITA-required contract provisions are included in VITA’s contract management system. If questions arise about which provisions are best-suited for a particular procurement, please contact the Manager, Strategic Sourcing or SCMinfo@vita.virginia.gov. The following table offers general guidelines on which provisions to use for different types of IT procurements:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description of procurement</th>
<th>Use this contract template</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>All non-telco contract definitions and contract clauses are included in the individual contract templates below.</td>
<td>You may delete any definitions and clauses that clearly do not apply to your procurement; you may add project specific definitions and clauses with approval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description of procurement</td>
<td>Use this contract template</td>
<td>Comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Software as a Service (SaaS), Platform as a Service (PaaS), Infrastructure as a Service (IaaS) (Note to SCM Sourcing: check with Director, Enterprise Services, for PaaS and IaaS procurements for applicability of all terms or</td>
<td>Cloud Services</td>
<td>Use a Cloud Services contract when you desire to procure a SaaS or PaaS solution. Both a whole Cloud Services template and an exhibit of Cloud Services clauses that may be added to the Solution template are available for your use,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hardware or equipment and maintenance/support</td>
<td>Hardware and maintenance</td>
<td>Include the warranty worksheet, which allows a supplier to identify its standard warranty and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Licensing of COTS software and purchase of maintenance/support for the software, including</td>
<td>Software off the shelf</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IT services, not to include any software development</td>
<td>Services</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance/support services for COTS or custom-developed software, including upgrades but no new</td>
<td>Software maintenance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Custom software, any software development services, systems development/design, software-based systems, projects involving software and where work product will result</td>
<td>Solution</td>
<td>The Solution template provides clauses covering the whole Solution, which may be made up of solution design and approach, other related services and all components including</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telecommunications</td>
<td>Telco</td>
<td>This template includes a majority of the definitions and clauses found in all the other templates, but has many unique telco-related</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value-added reseller (VAR) products</td>
<td>License Agreement Addendum</td>
<td>This is not a contract template. It should be included as a solicitation attachment. It is VITA's</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description of procurement</td>
<td>Use this contract template</td>
<td>Comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>language ONLY when the supplier is a reseller of the software, or when the software is an integral part of the supplier's product, and the supplier does not have the right to license the software itself (e.g., when a software licensor requires the VAR supplier to pass through the licensor’s terms and conditions). The solicitation must state that VITA requires the software licensor to execute this addendum to address terms and conditions in their license agreement which VITA, as a government entity, by law or by policy, cannot agree to. It is the supplier’s responsibility to</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

25.7.2 Requirements for major and delegated agency procurements
Agencies desiring CIO approval to issue an IT contract (or solicitation) must include the minimum elements shown in the spreadsheet entitled, "VITA Minimum Contractual Requirements for “Major” Technology Projects and Delegated Procurements" found in Appendix A, by following the link to the appropriate VITA SCM webpage.

This manual results from the directive provided in § 2.2-2012. A "The CIO shall develop policies, standards, and guidelines for the procurement of information technology of every description" therefore, the provisions of the Virginia Department of General Services, Division of Purchases and Supply Vendor’s Manual does not apply to IT contracts. When VITA has delegated authority to an agency for technology procurement, any language that conflicts with this manual should be removed from the agency’s contract document(s).

Agencies conducting a “high-risk” procurement, as defined in § 2.2-4303.01 of the Code of Virginia, must submit both the solicitation and the resulting to contract to VITA and the agency’s Office of Attorney General (OAG) representative for review of the terms and conditions. Reviews will be conducted within 30 business days and will include an evaluation of the extent to which the contract complies with applicable state law, as well as an evaluation of the appropriateness of the contract’s terms and conditions. Thereview will also ensure the inclusion of distinct and measureable performance metrics, as well as penalties and incentives, to be used in the event that the contract’s performance metrics are not met.
Agencies are required to contact VITA’s Supply Chain Management division (SCM) at: scminfo@vita.virginia.gov during the contract planning stage prior to awarding a high risk contract. SCM will assist the agency in preparing and evaluating the contract and identifying and preparing the required performance metrics and enforcement provisions.

VITA recommends that all solicitations and contracts submitted to VITA or the CIO for review and approval, or that are delegated to agency without need for VITA or CIO approval include the following qualities to improve the turnaround time for the agency:

- Be free of typographical, spelling and formatting errors.
- Be free of duplications and conflicting language/terms.
- Include all mandatory provisions required by the Code of Virginia and any Federal flow-down requirements.
- Include all document exhibits that comprise the whole contract.
- Be already reviewed by the agency’s OAG representative, if necessary.
- Be submitted electronically in Microsoft Word format to the agency’s then-current designated PMD representative.
- All solicitations and contracts that are submitted to VITA for review must include an agency-completed version of the matrix in Appendix A, as a document separate from the contract to facilitate VITA review.

Additionally, all final contracts requiring CIO approval must have undergone review by the agency’s OAG representative prior to submission to VITA. Agencies may obtain assistance in developing a technology contract by emailing a request to: SCMinfo@vita.virginia.gov.

25.7.3 Requirements for promoting supplier performance
Section 2.2-2012(E) of the Code of Virginia states: “If VITA, or any executive branch agency authorized by VITA, elects to procure personal computers and related peripheral equipment pursuant to any type of blanket purchasing arrangement under which public bodies, as defined in § 2.2-4301, may purchase such goods from any vendor following competitive procurement but without the conduct of an individual procurement by or for the using agency or institution, it shall establish performance-based specifications for the selection of equipment.”

All IT contracts should promote excellence in supplier performance. Measuring a supplier’s performance as part of the contract, combined with the agency’s management of the supplier’s performance will provide greater value for the Commonwealth and taxpayers. In order to emphasize excellence in supplier contract performance, VITA recommends that all IT contracts include the following:

- Agency and project performance expectations and objectives.
- Procedures for systematically gathering and using ongoing performance data on supplier’s performance during the term of the contract.
- An issue resolution and/or escalation process with defined timeframes.
- Built-in incentives/remedies attached to supplier performance.
- Distinct and measurable performance metrics
- Clear enforcement provisions, including penalties and incentives, to be used in the event that contract performance metrics or other contractual provisions are not met.

During contract negotiations, work with the supplier on establishing partnering programs and measurable goals for reducing administrative burdens on both parties while ensuring
supplier performance and value. Include negotiated goals into the contract. Always make agency
satisfaction with the supplier’s performance an on-going measurement during the term of the contract.

The type of performance data needed will be determined by the type of procurement. For instance, a
contract for maintenance support will require a service level agreement with monthly reporting on
supplier’s service performance in order to tie remedies to payment via a percentage discount. A solution
and implementation driven procurement should include sequential milestones or deliverable
submissions and gear remedies to on-time delivery and/or acceptance criteria. For a contract with a
Value Added Reseller (VAR) or for an off the shelf IT commodity procurement, availability and delivery
may be performance drivers. Please refer to Chapter 21 of this manual, Performance-Based Contracting
and Service Level Agreements, for a more in-depth discussion and valuable guidance.

If the agency’s procurement is a “high-risk contract” as defined in § 2.2-4303.01, then the solicitation and
resulting contract must include distinct and measureable supplier performance metrics and clear
enforcement provisions, including clearly outlines penalties and incentives, to be sued in the event that
contract performance measures are not met. The following language should be customized for your
project and be included in the solicitation and contract documents for high-risk contracts:

"(Your agency name) has developed a set of supplier key performance indicators ("KPI")
relating to Supplier’s performance under this Contract and which are attached hereto and
incorporated by reference as Exhibit XX. Supplier agrees to be bound by and perform its
obligations under this Contract pursuant to the KPI. The remedies for Supplier’s failure to
meet the KPI are set forth in Exhibit XX.

Supplier and (Your agency name) agree to meet within 30 calendar days of the Effective Date
of this Contract to set forth the methodology and designated personnel of each Party to
provide, collect, monitor, and report the KPI performance data and mutually agreed-to
incentives and remedies. Supplier agrees to provide to (Your agency name) a report of its
performance against the KPIs no less than once every six (6) months throughout the Term
of this Contract. Supplier’s report must include a comparison of its KPI performance against
the agreed-to targets and, in the event of any shortfall by Supplier, proposed remediation
measures. Supplier will report its KPI performance for the Contract in aggregate and for each
order or SOW over $1,000,000. Any instances of Supplier non-compliance will be recorded in
Supplier’s Contract file and shared with Contract stakeholders. Supplier further agrees that
any degradation or failure of Supplier’s performance obligations may result in failure to renew
the Contract, termination for convenience of the Contract or termination for breach of the
Contract. VITA will have all rights and remedies available at law."

The objective of the KPI is to provide a reference to determine whether the procurement was successful
by having discrete, quantifiable and measurable performance measures for suppliers. The metrics below
are examples and should be customized to the agency’s specific procurement type and goals:
(For goods/product-type procurements)

- All orders delivered on time as specified in the order or SOW.
- 100% match in the quantity, quality, and condition between products/goods listed on the order or SOW and the products/goods delivered to VITA/Authorized User.
- No more than XX percent (XX%) of the goods delivered in a calendar year are deemed defective or do not pass Acceptance Testing.

(For services-type procurements)

- Supplier achieves overall satisfaction level of XX percent (XX%) from all Authorized Users each month of the Term.
- Supplier maintains service uptime of at least XX percent (XX%) of the time each month.
- Supplier responds to all instances of unplanned downtime of services within one (1) hour.
- Supplier meets all milestone Deliverables for all Authorized Users each month of the Term.
- For Supplier-hosted Licensed Services, Supplier meets all Reporting Requirements of the Term.”

Agencies should consider the following questions and integrate them into the contract from what was included in the solicitation and negotiated, if applicable, with the supplier:

- What does the project need (product specifications, service turnaround, etc.) to satisfy the end user(s)? “What would the successful project look like?”
- How quickly must the supplier correct each failure? What are the agency’s remedies if supplier does not correct the failure within the specified time?
- What measurement and enforcement tools and processes will be implemented to ensure that performance can be measured and enforced?
- What financial or other incentives or remedies are needed by the agency?
- Does the agency have the ability to get out of the contract without penalty if the supplier is not meeting its service level obligations?
- How important is it to the agency for the supplier to provide “transition services” while an agency is trying to procure a new supplier?

25.7.4  VITA security and cloud contractual requirements

Section 2.2-2009 of the Code of Virginia mandates that the Chief Information Officer (CIO) is responsible for the development of policies, standards, and guidelines for assessing security risks, determining the appropriate security measures and performing security audits of government electronic information. Such policies, standards, and guidelines shall apply to the Commonwealth’s executive, legislative, and judicial branches and independent agencies.

While agencies are required to comply with all security policies, standards and guidelines (PSGs), Security Standard SEC525-02 provides agency compliance requirements for non-CESC hosted cloud solutions. These PSGs are located at this URL: https://www.vita.virginia.gov/it-governance/itrm-policies-standards/

In addition to Security Standard SEC525-02, for any procurements for third-party (supplier-hosted) cloud services (i.e., Software as a Service), since agencies have $0
delegated authority to procure these types of solutions, there is a distinct process for obtaining VITA approval to procure. At the link above, refer to the Third Party Use Policy. Your agency’s Information Security Officer or AITR can assist you in understanding this process and in obtaining the required documentation to include in your solicitation or contract. There are specially required Cloud Services terms and conditions that must be included in your solicitation and contract, and a questionnaire that must be included in the solicitation for bidders to complete and submit with their proposals. You may also contact: enterpriseservices@vita.virginia.gov

25.8 VITA recommendations for a successful IT contract
Below are discussions and guidance on typical IT contract elements. While some of these are common elements in all contract documents, this discussion is focused on a technology perspective.

25.8.1 IT special insurance coverages
In IT contracts Errors and Omissions (E/O) Insurance should always be required for Suppliers, except for simple computer-off-the-shelf (COTS) software products. This insurance covers a Supplier’s performance errors and intentional or accidental omissions in their performance obligated by the contract’s technical/functional requirements. The coverage amount is based on the complexity of your procurement. For instance, if a Supplier is developing a custom solution for the agency, or if the procurement is providing a critical business continuity service to citizens, or if the Supplier is providing a cloud service (i.e., Software as a Service), then a higher amount of coverage should be required. Typical language to include in a contract is: “Supplier shall carry Errors and Omissions insurance coverage in the amount of $2,000,000 per occurrence.”

For cloud service procurements, it is recommended to require Supplier to also provide coverage for Cyber Security Liability Insurance to assist in data loss or security breach, which can result in losses valued in excess of millions of dollars. This is a relatively new type of insurance that some Suppliers will not have. Often they will say it is included in their E/O insurance. If that is the case, you should require a higher coverage in the E/O requirement and ask them to confirm how their insurance provider will cover incidents of data loss and security breach. Get the facts in writing and include applicable language in your contract. The typical language to include in your contract requirement for this is: Supplier shall carry Cyber Security Liability insurance coverage in the amount of $5,000,000 per occurrence. Once again, the coverage amount can be decreased or increased based on your risk factor and project complexity and data/security sensitivity.

25.8.2 IT Scalability
Scalability (the ability to expand an application or use of hardware) is often a significant issue in complex IT transactions. Agencies should watch for data interoperability issues. If scalability may be a possible issue in an agency IT contract, the agency should be sure to obtain a warranty regarding scalability of applications and data interoperability. Scalability in software as a service contracts serves a “pay as you go” fee structure so you don’t pay for more than you need.

25.8.3 Material breach provision in IT contracts
Most contracts allow a party to terminate the contract for the other party’s “material breach.” It is often difficult to determine whether a particular set of facts amounts to “material breach.” Agencies should identify key scenarios which would constitute “material breach” and include those in the IT contract. If repeated small breaches could also constitute a “material breach”, the IT contract should include that language.
Commonwealth agency contracts usually provide that the supplier should not have a right to terminate
for breach for any reason, particularly for a mission critical application or solution. If the supplier has the
right to terminate the contract, the impact to the agency, project or Commonwealth as a whole, could be
paramount, because our common goal is uninterrupted business on behalf of the citizens. If there is a
material breach, outside of payment disputes, the agency and the supplier should work to cure the
breach and, if necessary, escalate the issue. Your OAG can offer additional guidance for your particular
agency and project.

25.8.4 Source code escrow
If there is a potential need to obtain source code for an application if the supplier is unable to support it
(bankruptcy, cessation of support of the product, etc.), the contract should provide for a source code
escrow. Source code escrow provisions should identify the escrow agent, when must supplier make
escrow deposits (initial and on-going), the triggers under which the escrow agent will release the source
code to the agency (insolvency, failure to support, sunset of the application, breach by supplier, etc.) and
any and all payment terms. Source code escrow must contain all documentation and runtime files
necessary for compilation. Testing of the deposited source code (including later release versions) is
strongly recommended. The supplier will have its own agent and escrow agreement form.

Agencies should carefully review any such agreement before incorporating it into the contract or signing it.
Ideally, an escrow agreement should be negotiated prior to contract execution so the agency can uphold
its best interests on behalf of the Commonwealth.
Visit SCM’s website at this URL: https://www.vita.virginia.gov/supply-chain/scm-policies-forms/ and
select “Guidance on Source Code Escrow” from the Tools menu for additional information and language
related to escrow.

25.8.5 Key IT supplier personnel
The IT contract should identify the supplier’s key personnel and also include under what circumstances
supplier would be able to replace such key personnel. In addition, if the agency would like background
and security checks on supplier’s personnel, as well as the right to interview or demand replacement of
such personnel, those provisions should be specifically included in the agreement. This provision is of
special value in a large and/or complex IT project.

25.8.6 Alternative dispute resolution (ADR) provision in IT contracts
If the agency and the supplier have agreed to submit all contractual disputes to ADR, the agreement
should specify what rules apply, how the mediator(s) will be chosen, as well as where the mediation will
take place. Also, escalation procedures should be included in the event the first mediation is not
successful, timeframes for escalation and the parties to be involved. If the agency does not have its own
ADR process and VITA has delegated authority for the procurement, VITA’s ADR process may be used by
the parties if so stated in the IT contract.

25.8.7 Force majeure in IT contracts
A force majeure (“a greater force”) event excuses a party’s failure to perform when failure results from
some circumstance beyond a party’s reasonable control (be careful of “labor and supply shortages”
being included in the definition of force majeure in the agreement, since these often are based on
business circumstances over which the supplier actually does have some control). The force majeure
language defines when each party’s obligation to perform is deemed to be “suspended.” Agencies should
include a clause that
gives them the right to terminate the contract if force majeure continues for a certain period of time—typically 30 days, though a shorter period would be appropriate for a mission-critical system.

25.8.8 Disaster recovery provision in IT contracts
IT contracts where the supplier has network or operational responsibilities or processes or stores Commonwealth data should include a disaster recovery plan (back-ups, hot-site, cold site) and detail supplier’s responsibility to provide full or partial restoration, to participate in disaster simulation exercises and the frequency of such responsibilities, to securely hold copies of all data for quick and easy access. The contract should also provide a timeframe for returning the agency to normal service levels following the disaster. Hosting and software as a service contracts must insist that all disaster recovery facilities are in the continental U.S.

25.8.9 Termination of IT services
Agencies should strive to obtain a contractual commitment from the supplier that the supplier will not suspend services except in the most limited circumstances. Agencies should try to negotiate an exception to the typical limitation of liability clause in the IT contract to include damages caused by a supplier’s suspension or cancellation of services. For example, those damages might include the cost of finding a replacement service. Any revenue commitment in the agreement should also be reduced by an amount equal to or greater than the revenue generated for the supplier from the cancelled service if the supplier will continue to provide other services under the contract.

It is important to include “transition assistance” language within the IT contract. For instance, if the contract is not renewed or terminated or if work on a project is terminated for any reason, the supplier is responsible to provide reasonable transition assistance to allow for the expired or terminated portion of the services to continue without interruption or adverse effect and to facilitate the orderly transfer of such services to the agency. Here is language from VITA’s contract templates, customized for agency use:

"Prior to or upon expiration or termination of this Contract and at the request of Agency, Supplier shall provide all assistance as Agency may reasonably require to transition Name of Project/Contracted Services to any other supplier with whom Agency contracts for provision of a Project services. This obligation may extend beyond expiration or termination of the Contract for a period not to exceed six (6) months. In the event of a termination for breach and/or default of Supplier, Supplier shall provide such assistance at no charge or fee to Agency; otherwise, Supplier shall provide such assistance at the hourly rate or a charge applicable under the Agreement or as otherwise agreed upon by Supplier and Agency."

25.8.10 Maintenance needs in IT contracts
The contract should clearly state the manner of maintenance/support to be provided and identify who to contact for service and/or repair. The contract should also include severity levels, an agreed upon acceptable response time for the supplier, the level of maintenance/support to be provided, as well as the billing structure for such services. The contract should also include a notification/escalation process for the resolution of errors, deficiencies or defects, including the method of notification to the supplier, acceptable response time and the agency’s recourse if the supplier’s action does not correct the problem or is not acceptable to the agency.
25.8.11 IT documentation and training needs
The IT contract should describe responsibilities of both parties regarding the provision of user manuals, technical support manuals, application documentation, training materials and other training needs. The IT contract should include the due dates of all such materials, format and level of detail of the materials to be provided under the contract. All training needs should be specifically described in contract including the nature and extent of training to be provided by the supplier as well as the location, timeframe and cost of training.

25.8.12 IT hold harmless clauses
This language addresses the issue of who pays when there is a claim for damages arising out of the work performed or a product provided under an IT contract. A hold harmless clause is an indemnity clause and is to be included in all IT contracts. Under an indemnity clause, the supplier agrees to indemnify, defend and hold harmless the agency, its officials and employees from and against any and all claims, proceedings, judgments, losses, damages, injuries, penalties and liabilities of, by or with third parties. Additional information about indemnification is provided in subsection 25.8.20.

25.8.13 Liquidation costs and completion failure remedies in IT contracts
If the supplier’s failure to deliver on time will adversely affect an agency’s capacity to perform its business function, a liquidated damages clause should be included in the contract. This clause will establish the method for computing the reimbursement to an agency for costs incurred due to the failed delivery of the IT product or service. This may be linked to service level requirements or acceptance testing failure. Liquidated damages must be based on a realistic estimate of the expense incurred by the Commonwealth.

25.8.14 Assignment of IT contracts
Agencies will want broad rights to assign the contract (or license) in their IT contracts. This allows them to transfer technology resources to other agencies or even to outside contractors who are providing them with services. Suppliers often want to prohibit such assignments to encourage sales or to prevent technology from falling into the hands of competitors. IT contracts should allow agencies to assign agreements to other agencies and to those contractors providing them with services, provided that the contractor may only use the technology to provide services to the agency, not to its other customers. It is best to have this right without requiring the advance agreement of the supplier. Most assignments should provide that the Commonwealth or agency has the right to assign the contract or license upon giving notice to the supplier. Although it can create extra work for managing suppliers, it is not uncommon to have a contract provision requiring a customer to notify a supplier of an assignment, even if the supplier’s consent is not required.

25.8.15 IT performance bonds
Although performance bonds (a surety bond issued by an insurance company to guarantee satisfactory completion of a project by a supplier) are usually used in construction or transportation contracts, the Code of Virginia (§ 2.2-4339) provides that a public body may require a performance bond for contracts for goods or services if provided in the IFB or RFP. For example, a supplier may cause a performance bond to be issued in favor of the agency for whom the supplier is developing and implementing a major IT solution. If the supplier fails to develop and implement the solution according to the contract’s requirements and specifications, most often due to the bankruptcy of the supplier, the agency is guaranteed compensation for any monetary loss up to the amount of the performance bond.
Performance bonds are contracts guaranteeing that specific obligations will be fulfilled by the supplier. The obligation may involve meeting a contractual commitment, paying a debt or performing certain duties. Under the terms of a bond, one party becomes answerable to a third party for the acts or non-performance of a second party. Performance bonds are required in a number of business transactions as a means of reducing or transferring business risk. Agencies may require a performance bond for the purpose of reducing public responsibility for the acts of others, and the courts require bonds to secure the various responsibilities of litigants, including the ability to pay damages.

A typical performance/surety bond identifies each of three parties to the contract and spells out their relationship and obligations. The parties are:

- **Principal** or the party who has initially agreed to fulfill the obligation which is the subject of the bond. (Also known as the obligor/contractor/supplier.)
- **Obligee** or the person/organization/agency protected by the bond. This term is used most frequently in surety bonds.
- **Guarantor** or **Surety** or the insurance company issuing the bond.

The performance bond binds the Principal to comply with the terms and conditions of a contract. If the Principal is unable to successfully perform the contract, the surety assumes the Principal's responsibilities and ensures that the project is completed.

Performance bonds must be in an amount at least equal to 100% of the accepted bid or proposal and should be filed 10 days prior to issuance of the notice of award unless a written determination is made that it is in the best interests of the agency to grant an extension. A certified check or cash escrow may be accepted in lieu of a performance bond. If approved by the Attorney General, a supplier may furnish a personal bond, property bond, or bank letter of credit in the face amount required for the performance bond.

Approval shall be granted only if the alternative form of security offered affords protection equivalent to a corporate surety bond. If a performance bond requirement is not stated in the solicitation and the agency later determines that a bond is needed prior to contract award, the supplier to whom the award will be made shall provide a performance bond, and the agency will pay the cost of the bond.

In IT contracts, a performance bond may be in addition to the E/O insurance requirement, but never in place of it, as E/O insurance is a professional liability insurance that covers just what the term implies, but not remuneration for a supplier bankruptcy situation.

**25.8.16** The IT statement of work
A strong statement of work (SOW) should define precisely, clearly and completely all the obligations of the parties with respect to the IT effort to be performed. The SOW details what the supplier agrees to do, what the agency agrees to do, the instructions to the supplier and the technical, functional and performance and reporting requirements and specifications of the contract. All SOWs must be in writing and agreed to before any work begins. The SOW should be an exhibit to the contract. The SOW should be sufficiently detailed so that a person who is unfamiliar with the contract will be able to clearly see everything that is included and what is not. Please refer to manual Chapter 21, Performance-based Contracting, SOWs and SLAs and Chapter 12, SOWs for IT Procurements for more in-depth discussion and details on creating effective and complete SOWs. Chapter 12 also includes a link to a SOW template and Change Order template. A
strong SOW should include the following elements, as applicable to the procurement:

- A detailed statement of the purpose, objective or goals to be undertaken by the supplier.
- Limitations of the services being provided.
- An identification of all significant material to be developed by supplier and delivered to the agency.
- Project reporting requirements (i.e., project status, sales status, monthly/quarterly, service level/performance). SWaM and IFA sales reporting do not need to be included in the SOW if they are elsewhere in the contract document.
- List of all deliverables with due dates and submission requirements.
- Estimated time schedule and/or milestones for the provision of products/services by the supplier.
- If not a performance-based contract, include the methodology for how the products/services will be provided.
- Travel and meeting attendance requirements.
- Testing requirements.
- Completion/acceptance criteria for the work to be performed.
- Maintenance that will be provided.
- Support that will be provided.
- Service level requirements.
- Name/identification of supplier personnel to be assigned, if specific personnel are key to the engagement. (Some may also require job classification or skill level of the personnel to be made available by the supplier.)
- Supplier work hours required to accomplish the purpose and goals.
- Invoice procedures, if not in the contract document.
- Supplier’s total cost if not included in the contract’s pricing schedule (perhaps in a new purchase order situation). Scope of work must have breakdown of costs, including billing rates. Divide the supplier services into billable tasks or billable units such as price list of equipment or supplies or a list of hourly rates for services.
- Safety, data privacy and return, confidentiality, audit and liability requirements, if not in the contract.
- List of required specifications and any supplier professional certifications or licenses.
- Place of performance (agency onsite, supplier location, other)
- Special work hours, if any.
- The agency’s responsibilities, such as facilities, equipment for supplier performance and information, data, documentation to facilitate the supplier’s performance.
- Any special security requirements; i.e., transmittal of data, government facility access, etc.

A Statement of Work Template and a Statement of Work Change Order Template are available at


25.8.17  IT confidentiality agreements

Parties to IT contracts frequently enter into confidentiality agreements before the contract is signed. Any consultant or supplier who has access to sensitive agency data must agree to treat that data as confidential, whether it is personally identifiable employee or agency data, agency lists, marketing plans, nonpublic financial information or trade secrets. In
many cases, an agency may need to disclose some of this information to an IT supplier before the contract is signed. Confidentiality protection requires more than a well written agreement. When an agency needs to protect certain information, employees need to be educated not to make unnecessary disclosures. Where the confidentiality agreement calls for marking or otherwise identifying information as confidential, employees must be sure to so identify the information. It is important to keep complete and accurate records of who has access to the information and how it is transmitted and used. Below is a comprehensive contractual definition of “confidential information.” “As used herein, the term "Confidential Information" of agency means all information that supplier may receive from the agency, its employees, agents or representatives, prior to or on or after the date hereof, which is not generally available to the public, including but not limited to agency lists, proposed or planned products or services, marketing plans, financial and accounting records, cost and profit figures, forecasts and projections and projections and credit information.”

- **Identifying confidential information:** If the agency desires that the confidentiality agreement be more restrictive, it can require that each item that is disclosed be specifically identified as being “confidential” in order to be within the scope of the agreement. Here is one example of such a clause:

  “If the Confidential Information is embodied in tangible material (including without limitation, software, hardware, drawings, graphs, charts, disks, tapes, prototypes and samples), it shall be labeled as "Confidential" or bear a similar legend. If the Confidential Information is disclosed orally or visually, it shall be identified as such at the time of disclosure, and be confirmed in a writing within XX days of such disclosure, referencing the place and date of oral or visual disclosure and the names of the employees of the receiving party to whom such oral or visual disclosure was made, and including therein a brief description of the Confidential Information disclosed.” It may make sense for an agency to include as confidential information “all oral and written information that an objective observer would consider confidential taking into account the surrounding circumstances.”

In other words, did the recipient have reason to believe the information he or she saw (rather than information actively supplied to him or her) might be confidential?

- **Extent of the nondisclosure obligation:** The core of any confidentiality agreement is the clause that obligates the receiving party to treat thereceived information as confidential. This clause can be drafted in any number of ways.

Here’s an example of an expansive confidentiality provision:

"Except as set forth herein or as otherwise agreed by the parties in writing, each Recipient shall at all times, both during and after the Disclosure Period: (i) not disclose any Confidential Information of the other party or its affiliate to any person other than the Recipient’s employees or representatives who need to know such information; (ii) use the same care and discretion to avoid disclosure as the Recipient uses with respect to its own confidential information; (iii) not use any
Confidential Information in the Recipient’s business, nor develop, market, license or sell any product, process or service based on any Confidential Information; and (iv) not modify, reverse engineer or create derivative works based on any computer code owned by the other party or its affiliate.”

The clause includes both a nondisclosure and nonuse obligation. It specifies a level of care that the recipient uses with respect to its own confidential information, and it restricts the recipient from reverse engineering the disclosing company’s software or creating derivative works. A variation might include an absolute obligation not to disclose, rather than an obligation to exercise a defined level of care to avoid disclosure. Depending on the importance of the information being protected, an agency may consider including a detailed security requirements addendum. Another way of limiting the use of the information would be to say that the recipient may “use the information only for the purpose for which it was disclosed, or otherwise solely for the benefit of the Discloser.”

The clause also restricts the range of parties to whom the recipient may disclose to the recipient’s employees or representatives who need to know such information. Some agreements add that any such employees or representatives must be under a similar obligation of confidentiality. At a minimum, the recipient should be obligated to inform such recipients of their obligation to retain the information in confidence. Here is sample contract language that will fulfill that purpose: “Each Recipient will ensure that its employees, agents and representatives also comply with the Recipient’s obligations of confidentiality and non-use under this Agreement.”

- **Exceptions to confidentiality:** Certain information is typically exempted from the coverage of a confidentiality agreement. For instance, here is a typical “exception” clause: “The obligations of confidentiality and non-use described above will not apply to information that (i) was already rightfully known to the Recipient on a non-confidential basis before the Effective Date; (ii) was independently developed by the Recipient; or is publicly available when received, or thereafter becomes publicly available through no fault of the Recipient or its employees, agents or representatives.” Other exceptions to confidentiality coverage might include information obtained from a third party without obligation of confidentiality, or information disclosed by the discloser without obligation of confidentiality.

A supplier might also want a confidentiality exception for “residual information.” The supplier’s programmers will inevitably learn skills through the work they perform for the agency, and it would be impossible to prevent them from using these skills. The supplier will not want to be liable for breach of contract as a result of the supplier’s use of such residual information. Here is some suggested contract language to address this situation: “The Recipient may disclose, publish, disseminate, and use the ideas, concepts, know-how and techniques, related to the Recipient’s business activities, which are contained in the Discloser’s information and retained in the memories of Recipient’s employees who have had access to the information pursuant to this Agreement (“Residual Information”). Nothing contained in this Section gives Recipient the right to disclose, publish or disseminate, except as set forth elsewhere in this Agreement:
1) the source of Residual Information;
2) any financial, statistical or personnel data of the Discloser; or
3) the business plans of Discloser.*

Such a clause allows the employees of the supplier to work with other agencies. It is also not a bad idea as a general rule from the agency’s point of view, because the agency potentially receives the benefit of residual information that the supplier received from other agencies.

- **Disclosures required by law:** A party that is bound by a confidentiality agreement may find itself subject to a court order or a subpoena to disclose information that such party is contractually obligated not to disclose. Many confidentiality agreements specifically deal with this situation by requiring notice to the discloser and an opportunity to object or seek a protective order. Here is a sample clause to address this issue: “In the event that Recipient becomes legally compelled to disclose any Confidential Information, Recipient shall: (i) promptly notify the Discloser that such information is required to be disclosed, (ii) use Recipient’s best efforts to obtain legally binding assurance that all those who receive disclosure of such information are bound by an obligation of confidentiality, and (iii) disclose only that portion of the Confidential Information that Recipient’s legal counsel advises is legally required to be disclosed.”

Agency procurements are subject to the Freedom of Information Act, with exceptions in the VPPA. The requirements of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act are more fully discussed in Chapter 10 of this manual.

- **Duration of confidentiality obligation:** Many recipients of confidential information from suppliers seek to limit the length of their obligation not to disclose such information. One way to do this is to limit the term of the confidentiality obligation. Some agreements, for example, require the recipient of confidential information to regard the information as confidential for a period of one, two or three years. On the other hand, it may be very important to the discloser to preserve the confidentiality of the disclosed information indefinitely. This is an issue that the parties should consider based on the specific facts and needs of the parties. VITA normally includes confidentiality obligations in its Survival provision.

- **Return of confidential materials:** The discloser of confidential information will want to include a clause requiring the recipient to return the confidential information to the discloser upon request. Here is an example of such a provision: “Upon the request of Discloser, Recipient will promptly return to Discloser all Confidential Information and all copies thereof in Recipient’s possession or under Recipient’s control, and Recipient will destroy all copies thereof on Recipient’s computers, disks and other digital storage devices.”

- When the confidentiality clause is part of a larger agreement, the agreement should provide that the confidential information will be returned to the discloser upon the expiration or termination of the agreement. The agreement should always provide that it will be governed by the laws of the Commonwealth of Virginia. In addition to the clauses described above, a confidentiality agreement might contain provisions to the effect that:
o the discloser may obtain both injunctive relief and monetary damages in the event that the recipient fails to comply, and that the recipient will pay for the discloser’s attorneys’ fees;
o a recipient in breach must indemnify if a third party sues the discloser due to the breach;
o monetary liability for breach is limited to a specified dollar amount:
o the disclosed information remains the property of the disclosing party;
o the recipient shall immediately notify the discloser upon discovering any loss or unauthorized disclosure by any of the recipient’s personnel of any confidential information;
o each party shall comply with all applicable laws, rules and regulations, including those relating to technology export or transfer;
o the discloser is disclosing the information “as is” or with implied or express warranties;
o the discloser is granting no license;
o the recipient is not restricted from providing competitive products or services to others;
o the recipient may not reverse engineer, decompile or disassemble any disclosed software;
o the recipient will not export any disclosed software in violation of any export laws;
o the parties will mediate and then arbitrate any dispute (with a carve-out for injunctions).

25.8.18  IT warranties
The IT contract should require the supplier to warrant that, as applicable to the procurement, all equipment, software, systems installed and services meet the contractual requirements. Suppliers generally prefer to disclaim all implied warranties of merchantability and fitness for purpose in favor of specific repair or replace warranties that give little or no recourse to agencies. In order to protect the agency, the contract should either reinstate the implied warranties or avoid the supplier’s implied warranty disclaimers by devising a format that exchanges supplier disclaimers for specific express warranties. For instance, include language such as “Should such product not perform as warranted, the supplier will be responsible for fixing and repairing the product and if the supplier fails to do so, the agency has the right to receive a credit or equitable relief from the supplier, etc.” All express and implied warranties should be clearly stated in the contract.

The contract should include a stated warranty period that begins after acceptance of the product and prior to the commencement of paid-for maintenance/support. During the warranty period the supplier is required to fix problems and provide some level of support at no additional cost to the agency. Warranty periods vary in length. They are frequently twelve months, although they may be as short as three months. Each VITA contract template includes warranty language adapted for the particular procurement type. After the warranty period expires, agencies commonly receive ongoing service through a maintenance agreement. Here is a sample warranty clause:

“For a period of _______ months from Agency’s acceptance of the completed Software/Service/Solution (the "Warranty Period"), Supplier represents and warrants that such Software/Service/Solution will conform to all agreed-upon requirements. If, during that period, Agency notifies Supplier that the Software/Service/Solution does not conform to agreed-upon requirements, then Supplier promptly shall correct such nonconformities
at no charge to Agency. If Supplier fails to correct any problem, programming error or bug reported during the Warranty Period within thirty days after receipt of notice, Agency may contract for such work to be done by any third party and Supplier shall reimburse Agency for the reasonable cost of such work."

Most agencies will want far more extensive warranties than merely a warranty that the product will conform to all agreed-upon requirements, and they will want warranties that last beyond the "warranty period". This is true especially in contracts in which the supplier prominently states that it makes no warranties other than those expressly set forth in the agreement. An agency that purchases a product or licenses software should also obtain a warranty from the supplier or licensor that the technology will not infringe on the rights of any third party. In addition to warranties that the product and all fixes and enhancements will conform to agreed-upon requirements and will not infringe the rights of any third party, an agency might require express warranties from the supplier that ensure:

- The product and all enhancements and new versions will contain no known defects.
- Supplier has the right to enter into the agreement and to perform its obligations under the agreement.
- The agreement is its legal, valid and binding obligation.
- Neither supplier nor its employees have been or are the subject, directly or indirectly, of any governmental order, investigation or action of any kind, including without limitation any order or action to revoke or deny any export privileges, and supplier will notify agency immediately in the event supplier or any of its employees become subject to any such order, investigation or action.
- Supplier’s software, services or products shall not infringe on any third party’s intellectual property rights, including, but not limited to patent, trademark, copyright or trade secret.
- Supplier is under no obligation or restriction, nor will it assume any such obligation or restriction, which would in any way interfere or be inconsistent with, or present a conflict of interest concerning, the services which are the subject of the agreement.
- Supplier’s performance will not breach or conflict with any prior obligation of supplier to any other party, including any obligation to keep confidential any information acquired by supplier before the date of the agreement.
- Unless approved in advance by agency, no information supplier discloses to agency in providing the services that are the subject matter of the agreement will be confidential to supplier or any third party.
- The supplier, if a licensor, has the right to grant a license to the software free and clear of any liens and encumbrances.
- The supplier is not currently the subject of any litigation or pending claim that would materially affect the supplier’s ability to perform.
- The fees and hourly rates set forth in Exhibit/Schedule are the best rates supplier offers to any of its customers.
- The software and all enhancements and new versions will contain no known computer virus or other "contaminants," including any codes or instructions that may be used to access, modify, delete, damage or disable agency's computer system, which shall include, but not be limited to, security or expiration codes.
- Licensor expressly waives and disclaims any right or remedy it may have at law or in equity to unilaterally de-install, disable or repossess the Software should Licensee fail to perform any of its obligations under this Agreement.
- In no event shall Licensor have the right to purposefully or accidentally electronically repossess the Software using "self-help" devices. For purposes of
this Agreement, "repossess" shall include, but not be limited to, electronic lock-outs or booby traps.

When the supplier incorporates third party software into the software it is licensing or selling, the agency may want to include that the supplier must obtain comparable warranties from such third parties and shall assign such warranties to the agency. The supplier should also commit to cooperate with the agency in the enforcement of any such warranties. What about the term or survival of these warranties? While the warranty regarding the conformity of the software to the agreed-upon requirements may have a fixed term, for example, of twelve months, the agency may want the warranty against infringement to last indefinitely.

IT contracts may define levels of product errors and deal with each level in a different manner. For example, the contract might define a "fatal error" as one that results in the inability of a system to perform a vital business function of the agency (as further defined in the agreement). The contract might provide, for example, that if the agency discovers a fatal error within six months, then the supplier will handle the error in the same manner as it would handle infringement. In other words, the supplier would modify or replace the product, offer some workaround, or terminate the license and pay the agency the depreciated book value of the software. The agreement might provide that the licensor or supplier will use its best efforts to fix any error other than a fatal error.

25.8.19 IT Indemnification contractual language
An agency that licenses or acquires technology from an IT supplier should include a provision in its IT contract for the supplier to indemnify the agency for claims from third parties arising out of the failure of any warranties or the supplier’s breach of the agreement. The Commonwealth cannot indemnify. Here is a sample indemnity clause:

“A. Indemnification Generally
Supplier shall defend, indemnify, and hold harmless the Commonwealth and agencies, and their officers, directors, agents, and employees (collectively "Commonwealth Indemnified Parties") from and against any and all third-party losses, damages, claims, demands, proceedings, suits and actions, including any related liabilities, obligations, losses, damages, assessments, fines, penalties (whether criminal or civil), judgments, settlements, expenses (including attorneys' and accountants' fees and disbursements), and costs (each a "Claim" and, collectively, "Claims") to the extent the Claims in any way relate to, arise out of, or result from:

i. any negligent act, negligent omission, or intentional or willful conduct of Supplier or any Supplier Personnel;

ii. a breach of any representation, warranty, covenant, or obligation of Supplier contained in this Contract;

iii. any defect in the Supplier-provided products or services; or

iv. any actual or alleged infringement or misappropriation of any third party’s intellectual property rights by any of the Supplier-provided products or services.

B. Defense of Claims
Supplier will be solely responsible for all costs and expenses associated with the defense of all third-party Claims against Commonwealth Indemnified
Parties. Selection and approval of counsel, and approval of any settlement, shall be accomplished in accordance with all applicable laws, rules, and regulations."

C. Duty to Replace or Reimburse
In the event of a Claim pursuant to any actual or alleged infringement or misappropriation of any third party’s intellectual property rights by any of the Supplier-provided products or services, or Supplier’s performance, Supplier shall, at its expense and option, either (a) procure the right to continue use of such infringing products or services, or any components thereof; or (b) replace or modify the infringing products or services, or any components thereof, with non-infringing products or services satisfactory to VITA.

In the event that an Authorized User cannot use the affected Deliverable, Product, Licensed Services, or Services, including any Components, then Supplier shall reimburse such Authorized User for the reasonable costs incurred by such Authorized User in obtaining an alternative product or service.

D. Supplier Dispute of Obligation to Indemnify
If a Claim is commenced against any Commonwealth Indemnified Parties by a third party alleging an infringement of the third party’s intellectual property rights and Supplier is of the opinion that the allegations in the third-party Claim, in whole or in part, are not covered by the indemnification provision in this Contract, then in the event that Supplier disputes any of its obligations to defend or indemnify any Commonwealth Indemnified Party, then Supplier shall immediately notify VITA and the affected Authorized User(s) in writing and shall, nonetheless, take all reasonable steps to protect the rights, remedies, and interests of the Commonwealth Indemnified Parties in the defense of the Claim, including to secure a continuance to permit VITA and the affected Authorized User(s) to appear and defend their interests in cooperation with Supplier as is appropriate, including any jurisdictional defenses VITA or the affected Authorized User(s) may have.∗

A provision placing liability on the supplier will not help the agency if the supplier does not have adequate resources to pay. Accordingly, the agency may want the supplier to carry insurance covering the indemnified risks. Agencies may want to require that suppliers provide proof of general liability insurance and professional liability coverage, naming the agency as additional insured. The contract should state the required limit of the policies (usually at least $1 million for each occurrence) and require the supplier to produce certificates of insurance to show that the required policies are in effect. As an added protection, the agency may require that it be added as an additional named insured to the Supplier’s insurance policy.

25.8.20 Liability limitations in IT contracts – General
In the private sector, most IT contracts contain language which limits the liability of the supplier to some multiple of the value of all payments made under the contract. As agencies rely heavily on their IT suppliers to assist in providing essential government services to citizens, limiting a supplier’s liability may not be as appropriate. When preparing the IT contract, the agency should evaluate the true risk involved should the supplier fail to perform or deliver. Agencies should take care to limit risk in its IT procurements through good contract scoping, specifications, good statements of work and
supplier and contract management.

- **Liability for direct and indirect damages:** Hold suppliers responsible for direct damages arising out of an IT contract. Do not hold suppliers responsible for third party claims arising out of indirect damages, with certain exceptions, including infringement of a third party’s intellectual property or willful misconduct by the Supplier. Unless responsibility is specifically allocated to the supplier in the contract, the agency should not hold supplier responsible for indirect damages, including special or consequential damages. Example: Supplier should not be liable for lost data, unless the contract specifically provides for supplier responsibility for lost data in the contract.

- **Amount of liability limitations:** Supplier liability should be limited according to the IT contract risk. Liability limitations in excess of 2X the total amount of the contract could be warranted for high-risk contracts, such as contracts for agency IT systems that involve public safety. If a contract contains a liability limitation that is a multiple of the total amount of the contract, then the agency and the supplier should specifically address in the contract how the “amount of the contract” is calculated. This is especially important where the contract has an extension clause or unique funding mechanism. Even if a limitation of the supplier’s liability is included, the contract should exclude unlimited liability for infringement of a third party’s copyrights or patents from that cap. A limitation of the supplier’s liability also should not cap the amount of supplier’s liability for property damage, death, or bodily injury suffered either by the agency and its employees, or that might be brought as a claim by a third party. An agency may negotiate no limit for breach of security, confidentiality, infringement or data privacy provisions of the contract.

25.8.21 **Liability contractual language for major IT projects**

Pursuant to § 2.2-2012.1 of the Code of Virginia, in all contracts for a “major information technology project” (as that term is defined in § 2.2-2005), the terms and conditions relating to a supplier’s indemnification obligations and liability must be reasonable and cannot exceed twice the aggregate value of the contract. Section 2.2-2012.1 further provides that in instances of “(i) the intentional or willful misconduct, fraud, or recklessness of a supplier or any employee of a supplier or (ii) claims for bodily injury, including death, and damage to real property or tangible personal property resulting from the negligence of a supplier or any employee of a supplier” a supplier’s liability is unlimited.

An exception to the liability limitations exists in contracts that pose an “exceptional risk” to the Commonwealth. In these instances, the CIO is required to conduct a risk assessment prior to the issuance of a Request for Proposal. The risk assessment must include consideration of the nature, processing, and use of sensitive or personally identifiable information. If the risk assessment concludes that the project presents an exceptional risk to the Commonwealth and the limitation of liability amount provided for in the paragraph above is not reasonably adequate to protect the interest of the Commonwealth, the CIO may recommend and request approval by the Secretary of Administration to increase the limitation of liability amount. The Secretary of Administration must approve any recommended maximum alternative limitation of liability amount before it may be included in any Request for Proposal issued for the project.
25.8.22 IT pricing
While the obligations of the IT supplier to the agency may be complex, the primary obligation of the agency is simple. The agency pays the supplier for its IT services or products. System development contracts are traditionally for large complex projects and commonly call for progress payments. An agency can manage the risk of these projects by paying in increments based on project milestones, or holding back a portion of the fee until the software/system is deemed by the agency to be acceptable. In order to work within these constraints, IT suppliers are increasingly breaking projects into smaller chunks, covering shorter periods of time. Agencies may want to evaluate whether it would be more beneficial to pay a greater amount for the supplier’s services in implementing the software, rather than for the software license itself.

Software license agreements may call for one-time payments or recurring payments, depending on whether the software license is viewed as a subscription or "paid-up." Applications service/hosting and software-as-a-service are normally subscription-based. Fees depend on use and may be charged monthly or annually. Maintenance fees are recurring regardless of whether the underlying license is paid-up one time or is an ongoing subscription, and may include additional hourly charges. The maintenance price should be based on the actual price paid for the software after all discounts and negotiations, rather than the list price, which usually will be significantly higher. IT agreements commonly list the amount of fees and the manner of payment in a schedule (exhibit) to the contract. The contract might state that the amounts set forth in the schedule will be effective for the base term after the contract is signed. If the agency agrees to any increases, they should be capped at a low fixed percentage or at a percentage based on published inflation indices, such as the Consumer Price Index. Here is language from VITA’s "Solution" contract template:

"As consideration for the Solution and any additional products and Services provided hereunder, an Authorized User shall pay Supplier the fee(s) set forth on Exhibit B, which lists any and all fees and charges. The fees and any associated discounts shall be applicable throughout the term of this Contract; provided, however, that in the event the fees or discounts apply for any period less than the entire term, Supplier agrees that it shall not increase the fees more than once during any twelve (12) month period, commencing at the end of year one (1). No such increase shall exceed the lesser of three percent (3%) or the annual increase in the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers (CPI-U), U.S. City Average, All Items, Not Seasonally Adjusted, as published by the Bureau of Labor Statistics of the Department of Labor [http://www.bls.gov/cpi/home.htm], for the effective date of the increase compared with the same index one (1) year prior. Any such change in price shall be submitted in writing in accordance with the above and shall not become effective for sixty (60) days thereafter. Supplier agrees to offer price reductions to ensure compliance with the Competitive Pricing Section."

The agency should require adequate notice of any price increase so that the agency can replace the supplier if it finds the price to be too high. The agency may also want to include a general clause along the following lines: "For all services that Supplier performs for Agency, Supplier will charge only such amounts as are reasonable and customary. Supplier will not charge Agency more for any such service than Supplier’s standard charges for similar services for other customers."

The first sentence above calls for fair prices. The agency will want to obtain quotes from
other suppliers to be sure that the prices being requested by the supplier are fair. The second sentence is a most-favored-nation pricing clause and favors the agency. Normally, only agencies that are in the best bargaining position will be able to obtain such a clause. In order to enforce this clause, an agency would want the right to inspect the supplier’s books and records.

An easier clause to negotiate might be one that gives an agency the benefit of any better offer the supplier might make to any other public body in the Commonwealth. Here is a clause along these lines: “Supplier agrees that if any offer is made to or agreement entered into by Supplier with any other Commonwealth public body for substantially similar programs in substantially similar volume at a price less than the price to Agency reflected in this Agreement, then the price reflected in this Agreement shall be reduced to such price offered to such public body.”
Appendix A
VITA Minimum Contractual Requirements for “Major” Technology Projects and Delegated Procurements

This form is available on VITA SCM’s website at the following URL:
https://www.vita.virginia.gov/media/vitавirгiniагов/supply-chain/docs/Min-Requirements-Agency-Delegated-RFPs-Contracts.docx
Appendix B
Where to Find Special Terms and Conditions for IT Contracts

The current version of the Reference Table of Special Terms and Conditions for IT Contracts may be found at VITA’s SCM website, under the Tools section: https://www.vita.virginia.gov/supply-chain/scm-policies-forms/.

Additional Special Terms and Conditions for Information Technology Goods and Services are located at: https://www.vita.virginia.gov/media/vitavirginiagov/supply-chain/docs/Special-Ts-and-Cs-for-IT-Goods-and-Services.docx

NOTE: There are additional mandatory terms for cloud procurements; i.e., Software as a Service (SaaS) RFPs/contracts. Please contact enterpriseservices@vita.virginia.gov or to SCMinfo@vita.virginia.gov to obtain a copy, which must be added as an exhibit to your RFP/contract.
Appendix C
Certification Regarding Lobbying

The current version of this form may be downloaded from this VITA SCM website, under the Forms section: https://www.vita.virginia.gov/supply-chain/scm-policies-forms/