



FY19

NG-911 GRANT PROGRAM APPLICATION



VIRGINIA INFORMATION
TECHNOLOGIES AGENCY
Integrated Services Division



FY19 NG-911 GRANT PROGRAM APPLICATION

HOW TO APPLY/DEADLINE

The grant application is available and accessible from VITA ISP's website (<http://www.vita.virginia.gov/isp/default.aspx?id=8578>). Upon completion of the application, it is to be submitted to the electronic mailbox for grant applications - psapgrants@vita.virginia.gov. Any supporting documentation must also be submitted along with the application when making your grant application submission.

After the close of the grant application cycle, a Grant ID and email receipt notification will be sent to the e-mail address listed on the application received.

All funding requests must be submitted using the grant application. Technical assistance is available from VITA's Public Safety Communications (PSC) staff throughout the grant process. The FY19 NG-911 Grant Application Cycle starts July 1, 2017 and concludes on September 30, 2017 at 5:00 pm.

ALL APPLICABLE SECTIONS MUST BE COMPLETED IN ITS ENTIRETY OR THE APPLICATION WILL BE CONSIDERED INCOMPLETE AND NOT ACCEPTED FOR CONSIDERATION.



FY19 NG-911 GRANT APPLICATION

PROJECT TITLE

Preparation of Public Safety GIS and Location Data for NG 9-1-1 Support

GRANT APPLICANT PROFILE/PROJECT CONTACT

PSAP/HOST PSAP NAME: Charles City County Sheriff’s Office

CONTACT TITLE: PSAP Director/Administrative Assistant

CONTACT FIRST NAME: L. DaVon

CONTACT LAST NAME: Jones

ADDRESS 1: 10780 Courthouse Road

ADDRESS 2: Post Office Box 87

CITY: Charles City

ZIP CODE: 23030

CONTACT EMAIL: DaJones@co.charles-city.va.us

CONTACT PHONE NUMBER: 804-652-2326

CONTACT MOBILE NUMBER: 804-829-9265

CONTACT FAX NUMBER: 804-829-9265

REGIONAL COORDINATOR: Sam Keys

HOST PSAP AND PARTICIPATING PSAPS/LOCALITIES

Charles City County Sheriff’s Office	

GRANT TYPE

Individual PSAP

Shared Services



Non-vendor supported application MUST include age and/or version of hardware/software, **along with a copy of the notice from the vendor.**

VERSION:

YEARS of HARDWARE/SOFTWARE:

PRIORITY/PROJECT FOCUS NG 9-1-1 GIS

FINANCIAL DATA

Amount Requested: \$ 83,732.00

Total Project \$83,732.00



PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Provide a detailed description of the project for which funding is being sought, including the impact on operational services and consequences of not receiving funding; the relationship to local strategic and capital improvement plans; and sustainability:

NG9-1-1 Data Readiness

This set of proposed tasks is focused on evaluating, standardizing, updating, correcting and synchronizing key public safety datasets, including that of the GIS, MSAG and ALI. All work items and modifications will be fully documented and developed into a project narrative document. To this end, the following work is proposed to be performed:

Data Standardization

Road centerline and site address GIS data models and content will be evaluated and modified for compliance with current *NENA Standard Data Formats for 9-1-1 Data Exchange & GIS Mapping*. Where necessary, configuration changes will be made to current PSAP mapping applications to accommodate the new model, or if configuration changes cannot be readily made, extract / transform / load (ETL) operations will be established, automated and tested to retain compatibility with legacy systems. This will assure that critical GIS data is maintained in a NENA-compliant format for future use—in, for example a statewide or regional Spatial Database Management System (SDBMS)—even if other PSAP systems currently in operation are not. As a part of this task, these datasets will be checked for completeness and accuracy as well as validated and formatted for compliance with the abovementioned NENA standard.

See Next Page .



Geospatial Data Validation

Using automated GIS data analysis tools, centerline geospatial data will be analyzed for fundamental geometric and attributional quality. Issues identified by the extension will be investigated, exceptions noted, and valid errors corrected. Validation checks to be performed include, but are not limited to the following:

Address Points	
Attribution	Geometry
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Unique feature IDs • Non-zero, non-null address / street name • Unique, non-duplicate addresses 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Valid, non-empty geometry • Unique, non-duplicate features • No multipart features
Centerlines	
Attribution	Geometry
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Persistent use of unique IDs • Non-duplicate address ranges • Non-zero / non-null address range values • Address / line directionality agreement • Non-overlapping address ranges • Side of road odd/even address agreement 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Valid, non-empty geometry • No multi-part features • Unique, non-duplicate features • Intersection snapping and segmentation • Gap / dangle validation • Connectivity

Address Data Validation

Various authoritative repositories for public safety addressing information will be compared, reconciled, and synchronized to assure consistency between them. More specifically, the following tasks are proposed to be completed:

- **Site Address / Road Centerline** – For this validation check, tabular address data derived from the site address GIS feature class will first be geocoded against GIS road centerlines with a very high (98-100%) minimum match score threshold, then reviewed. Address instances where that fail to find a match or find multiple matches will be investigated and corrections made to the appropriate feature class, then verified through re-geocoding. Following these fundamental geocoding checks, addresses will be assessed using the results of “fishbone” style analysis. Under this method, GIS-sourced site address attribute table values are geocoded against site addresses, then the each “interpolated” address range based location is connected to its corresponding mapped site address points via a straight line. The length and arrangement of these lines will then be used to identify potential problems such as out-of-sequence addresses address range irregularities, side-of-road inconsistency, and erroneous geocoder location assignments. Flagged addresses will then be investigated, and where appropriate, corrections made to relevant features. Technically correct, but non-standard or irregular addresses will be referred for review and determination of action by public safety and addressing managers. Specifically, the discrepancies identified in Virginia Information Technologies Agency’s FY19 NG9-1-1 GIS Readiness Report – GIS Checks for the County will be resolved. These discrepancies include the following items:
 - Road Centerline has duplicate address ranges for attributes <> 0
 - Road Centerline has left side overlapping address range
 - Road Centerline has right side overlapping range
 - Site Address Point is duplicate, has not street name or no address number
 - Site Address Point does not geocode to Road Centerline street name and address range



- Site Address Point street name and Road Centerline name mismatches
- **MSAG / ALI / GIS** – Street name and address range information stored in the Master Street Address Guide (MSAG) will be compared with corresponding values in GIS road centerlines. Instances of disagreement between the two will be investigated and inaccuracies corrected in the appropriate dataset. Additionally, addresses stored in the Automatic Location Information (ALI) database will be geocoded against GIS site addresses and road centerline address ranges with a very high (98-100%) minimum match score threshold, then reviewed. Disparities between the two will be investigated and inaccuracies corrected within the appropriate database(s). Specifically, the discrepancies identified in Virginia Information Technologies Agency’s FY19 NG9-1-1 GIS Readiness Report – ALI to GIS for the County will be resolved. These discrepancies include the following items:
 - No ALI match to Address Points
 - ALI match to but Address Point / ALI street names are different
 - ALI match but Road Centerline / ALI street names are different

ESN / ESZ Reconciliation

Emergency Service Number (ESN) information stored in the MSAG will be compared against mapped service areas and first due emergency service zone assignments. Discrepancies will be noted, investigated and corrected within the appropriate dataset(s).

Intra-jurisdictional Boundaries

Geospatial data pertaining to jurisdictional boundaries, and emergency service zones will be evaluated for compliance with geometric and topological rules (e.g., complete coverage; slivers, overlaps, or duplicate features), as well as for consistency with MSAG and road centerline address ranges. Geometric and topological errors will be corrected and discrepancies between adjacent boundaries will be investigated and corrected to assure accurate representations of boundaries.

Maintenance Processes & Procedures

To guarantee the continued value the investment made in the abovementioned data improvements and dataset synchronization efforts, it is essential to implement sustainable practices for their ongoing maintenance. To this end, a set of workflows, policies and procedures will be established and documented to enable ongoing system maintenance. Wherever practical, these procedures will employ automation through scripting and models, as well as data review tools, to simplify maintenance tasks, minimize manual effort and assure the quality and consistency of relevant public safety datasets.

Impact & Consequences

This project will have an immediate and enduring positive impact on the public safety operations of the PSAP by:

- improving accuracy and consistency within and between public safety datasets, including GIS, MSAG and ALI;
- enhancing analytical capabilities;
- designing, automating and documenting data maintenance methodologies; and
- sustainably preparing key PSAP datasets for eventual transition to NG9-1-1.



Consequences

While there is no anticipated worsening of critical public safety services, if this project is not funded, it would represent a missed opportunity to make meaningful improvements to current PSAP operations and sustainably prepare the PSAP for integration into a statewide NG9-1-1 system, an expenditure that will, in all likelihood need to be made eventually. Completing this work now will allow the PSAP to reap the rewards of an improved dataset sooner rather than later.



PROJECT GOAL

Describe how this project addresses locally identified need(s) and supports the Virginia 9-1-1 Comprehensive Plan:

This project meets current locality needs by improving the accuracy and analytical capabilities of its public safety datasets, with immediate consequent enhancement of its capability to protect human lives and property. At the same time, these improvements better integrate GIS into PSAP operations and position it to more smoothly transition into a statewide Next Generation 9-1-1 system. These outcomes are consistent with goals 3 and 7 of the Commonwealth of Virginia's Statewide 9-1-1 Comprehensive Plan and findings of the Statewide NG9-1-1 Feasibility Study.

PROJECT OBJECTIVES

Describe the objectives that will support the goals identified above:

Project Goals

- Prepare public safety datasets for future NG9-1-1 integration
- Improve the overall accuracy and consistency of public safety data
- Enhance the analytical capabilities of the PSAP
- Provide for ongoing maintenance and quality of public safety datasets

Project Objectives

The following are goals and objectives of this project:

- Establish a NENA standards compliant public safety geospatial dataset
- Create consistency and parity between GIS, MSAG and ALI databases
- Institute sustainable processes for continued data maintenance and synchronization



SHARED SERVICES (if applicable)

Describe the relationship of the project to the participating PSAPs:

N/A

Describe the intended collaborative efforts and resource sharing opportunities:

N/A



**IMPLEMENTATION PLAN
SHARED SERVICES & INDIVIDUAL PSAP APPLICATIONS:**

For each applicable phase of the project, indicate the planned completion date.

PROJECT PHASE	PLANNED COMPLETION DATE
INITIATION – Project concept is documented, local board or governing authority approval or endorsement is received, NG-911 Grant application is filed, local budgets are obtained, appropriated grant funds are approved, and budgetary estimates are obtained.	5 / 31 / 18
DESIGN/PLANNING - Requirements are documented, components to be purchased are identified, and general design is documented.	06/ 30 / 18
ACQUISITION - RFP (or other bid related processes) are drafted, proposals are evaluated, contract is signed, purchase orders are issued, and quotes are obtained.	08 / 31 / 18
IMPLEMENTATION - Purchased components are delivered and installed and training is performed	10 / 31 / 18
TESTING/COMPLETION - Performance of system/solution is validated and system/solution goes “live”	12 / 31 / 18



BUDGET AND BUDGET NARRATIVE

List the planned expenditures to be made with grant funds. Briefly explain the reason for each requested budget item and provide the basis for its cost. In addition, if contingency cost has been added, please identify the amount.

NOTE: In lieu of a line item breakdown, an itemized cost schedule or detailed vendor prepared quote may be submitted as an attachment, but a narrative is still required. However, budgetary quotes received from a particular vendor(s) during the application process do not commit the PSAP to use that vendor(s) once the grant is awarded.

The following is a non-binding cost estimate of **\$76,120.00** for all services proposed to be performed by WorldView Solutions in support of the draft grant application above, and is inclusive of all anticipated travel, time and materials.

Task	Estimated Cost
1. Project Narrative Development	\$5,120.00
2. Validate Road Centerlines and Address Point GIS Data for NENA Standards Compliance	\$8,800.00
3. Reconcile/Correct/Validate All County Address Data; GIS (RCL/AP), ALI and MSAG	\$24,200.00
4. Intra-jurisdictional Boundary Alignment	\$6,800.00
5. Update/Align/Create Emergency Service Number Boundaries	\$11,000.00
6. Establish, automate and document maintenance procedures	\$20,200.00
10% Contingency	\$7,612.00

EVALUATION

How will the project as identified in the project description be evaluated and measured for achievement and success:

The success of the project will be defined through the following milestones.

- Use of a geospatial consultant for planning and execution of project tasks
- Review and acceptance of design documents
- Review and acceptance of pilot, draft and final deliverables
- Validation testing of final participating address data



PHYSICAL CONSOLIDATION - (complete only if applicable)

How would a consolidation take place and provide improved service:

N/A

How should it be organized and staffed:

N/A

What services should it perform:

N/A

How should policies be made and changed:

N/A



PHYSICAL CONSOLIDATION - (complete only if applicable) – continued

How should it be funded:

N/A

What communication changes or improvements should be made in order to better support operations:

N/A