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Blue Skies or Stormy Weather: Looking at the Cloud
Liability backdrop
Amazon and Capital One face legal backlash after massive hack affects 106M customers

BY NAT LEVY on August 9, 2019 at 12:16 pm

U.S.

GITHUB 'ACTIVELY ENCOURAGES' HACKING, SUIT FILED AGAINST COMPANY AFTER CAPITAL ONE HACK SAYS

BY DANIEL MORITZ-RABSON ON 8/2/19 AT 3:56 PM EDT

Capital One had 'ample warnings of weaknesses and risks': Lawsuit
Capital One (COF) was hit with a lawsuit on Tuesday accusing it of serious ... of Amazon Web Services (AMZN), which hosts the Capital One ... Jul 30, 2019

5 Key Security Lessons From The Cloud Hopper Mega Hack
Microsoft Azure Flaws Could Have Let Hackers Take Over Cloud Servers

According to a report researchers shared with The Hacker News, the first security vulnerability (CVE-2019-1234) is a request spoofing issue that affected Azure Stack, a hybrid cloud computing software solution by Microsoft.

If exploited, the issue would have enabled a remote hacker to unauthorizedly access screenshots and sensitive information of any virtual machine running on Azure infrastructure—it doesn't matter if they're running on a shared, dedicated or isolated virtual machines.

According to researchers, this flaw is exploitable through Microsoft Azure Stack Portal, an interface where users can access clouds they have created using Azure Stack.

By leveraging an insure API, researchers found a way to get the virtual machine name and ID, hardware information like cores, total memory of targeted machines, and then used it with another unauthenticated HTTP request to grab screenshots, as shown.
• Data breaches
• Data loss (backup issues)
• Denial of Service (Dos) attacks
• Cryptojacking
• Hijacked accounts
• Non-secure applications
New NSA guidance
Mitigating Cloud Vulnerabilities

While careful cloud adoption can enhance an organization’s security posture, cloud services can introduce risks that organizations should understand and address both during the procurement process and while operating in the cloud. Fully evaluating security implications when shifting resources to the cloud will help ensure continued resource availability and reduce risk of sensitive information exposures. To implement effective mitigations, organizations should consider cyber risks to cloud resources, just as they would in an on-premises environment.

This document divides cloud vulnerabilities into four classes (misconfiguration, poor access control, shared tenancy vulnerabilities, and supply chain vulnerabilities) that encompass the vast majority of known vulnerabilities. Cloud customers have a critical role in mitigating misconfiguration and poor access control, but can also take actions to protect cloud resources from the exploitation of shared tenancy and supply chain vulnerabilities. Descriptions of each vulnerability class along with the most effective mitigations are provided to help organizations lock down their cloud resources. By taking a risk-based approach to cloud adoption, organizations can securely benefit from the cloud’s extensive capabilities.

This guidance is intended for use by both organizational leadership and technical staff. Organizational leadership can refer to the Cloud Components section, Cloud Threat Actors section, and the Cloud Vulnerabilities and Mitigations overview to gain perspective on cloud security principles. Technical and security professionals should find the document helpful for addressing cloud security considerations during and after cloud service procurement.


- Designates four classes of vulnerabilities:
  - Misconfiguration;
  - Poor access control;
  - Shared tenancy vulnerabilities;
  - Supply chain vulnerabilities.
• Shared cloud security responsibilities:

Shared responsibility considerations:

- **Threat detection** – “while CSPs are generally responsible for detecting threats to the underlying cloud platform, customers bear the responsibility of detecting threats to their own cloud based resources.”
- **Incident response** – make certain the CSPs are providing support to incident response teams
- **Patching / Updating** – CSPs manage their own patch management but will to manage yours. Must “vigilantly deploy patches to mitigate software vulnerabilities in the cloud.”

Cloud threats

- Malicious CSP administrators
- Malicious customer cloud administrators
- Cybercriminals / nation state sponsored actors
- Untrained / neglectful cloud administrators
Mitigate risk

- Use cloud service policies to prevent users from sharing data publicly without a mission-justified role
- Use cloud or third-party tools to detect misconfigurations in cloud service policies
- Limit access to and between cloud resources with the desired state being a zero trust model
- Use cloud service policies to ensure resources default as private
- Audit access logs with automated tools to identify overly-exposed data
- Restrict sensitive data to approved storage and use data loss prevention solutions to enforce these restrictions

Mitigate risk

• Ensure proper CSP-specific training for individuals creating or modifying cloud service policies
• Enforce encryption of data at rest and in transit with strong encryption methods and properly configured, managed and monitored key management systems
• Adhere to applicable standards (e.g., CSP guidance, Center for Internet Security benchmarks, DoD CCSRG)
• Configure software in cloud systems to update automatically
• Control selection of virtual machine images to require hardened baselines and enable predictable cyber defense
• Control and audit cloud service policies and IdAM changes
• Ensure that logging is enabled at all levels (e.g., user platform activity, network flow logs, SaaS/PaaS activity) to capture the reality of the environment, especially ephemeral resources, and that logs are stored immutably
• Apply traditional security practices to the cloud when possible (e.g., enable endpoint detection and response [EDR] for cloud-based endpoints)
• Follow best practices to prevent the abuse of privileged accounts (e.g., separation of duties, two-person controls)

Mitigate risk

- Opt to modernize and take advantage of CSP services rather than “lift and shift” legacy systems
- Ensure that transitions are properly defined, funded, reviewed, and under the right leadership
- Evolve architecture and processes to incorporate new features with an understanding of changes to risk
- Understand your data and how it flows throughout various systems
- Evaluate areas where traditional IT silos of operation or infrastructure can be merged in cloud deployments
- Use CSP tools or techniques, such as infrastructure as code, to reduce the risk of misconfiguration

Critical Steps

3
Steps

- Negotiate the risk contractually
- Audit and review options
- If vulnerabilities become known, request information from CSPs and app developers
Questions?
MANAGING THE ECONOMICS OF CYBER RISK
CYBER RISK = BUSINESS RISK
EXPECTATIONS FOR CISOs HAVE CHANGED

- FEAR, UNCERTAINTY & DOUBT
- COMPLIANCE CHECKLISTS
- MATURITY MODELS
- CYBER RISK ECONOMICS
# COMPLIANT... BUT STILL IN THE DARK

## 1. Qualitative Checklists & Excel

- **NIST CSF**
- **ISO 27001 Certified**
- **Excel**

The way most organizations measure risk today fails to quantify cybersecurity and operational risk in terms the business can understand and use.

## 2. Governance, Risk & Compliance Tools

- **Very Low**
- **Low**
- **Moderate**
- **High**
- **Very High**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very Low</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very High</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No embedded risk analytics capabilities in most GRC tools.
NEW SEC GUIDANCE ON CYBER RISK DISCLOSURE

MERE ENUMERATION OF CYBER RISK FACTORS NO LONGER ACCEPTABLE

CYBERSECURITY RISKS AND INCIDENTS TO BE REPORTED IF “MATERIAL” TO THE FINANCES OF THE COMPANY

Disclosures to include:

- Frequency of cyber events
- Probability and magnitude of incidents - costs, in financial terms
- Adequacy of controls
- Potential reputational harm
- Potential fines and judgements

Controls and procedures should enable companies to

- identify cybersecurity risks and incidents,
- assess and analyze their impact on a company’s business,
- evaluate the significance associated with such risks and incidents,
- provide for open communications between technical experts and disclosure advisors, and
- make timely disclosures regarding such risks and incidents.

SEC Commission Statement and Guidance on Public Company Cybersecurity Disclosures – Feb. 26, 2018
"Εχουμε πάνω από δέκα χιλιάδες τρωτά σημεία, είναι συμβατό με το ογδόντα τοις εκατό"

THE COMMUNICATION CHALLENGE

CFO
“How much risk do we have? Are we spending too little or too much on mitigation?”

AUDIT
“Did you fix those high priority issues?”

BOARD/CEO
“We don’t want to be the next news headline cybercrime victims. Are we doing enough to minimize risk?”

CIO
“Are we spending our cybersecurity budget on the right things? What is the ROI?”
HOW FAST ARE THEY GOING?
QUALITATIVELY
CHALLENGES

• Is your “fast” the same as mine?

• What’s your formula for speed?
  o Is it the same as mine?

• Which car am I referring to?
  o One in particular? (Slowest? Fastest?)
  o An average for all of them?

• Which part of the track am I referring to?
  o Corners?
  o The straightaway?
  o Average over the entire track?
  o This lap, or an average for the entire race?
WHAT DOES FAIR PROVIDE?

- A standard terminology and a taxonomy for information and operational risk

- A methodology for quantifying and managing risk in financial terms

Factor Analysis of Information Risk (FAIR) is the only international standard quantitative analysis model for information security and operational risk.
The FAIR Institute is a non-profit expert organization dedicated to enabling business-aligned and cost-effective risk management.

Based on the Open FAIR Standard

Education | Collaboration | Best Practices

7,300+ Members

17 Local Chapters

5 Workgroups

Leadership & Board Members

Universities offering FAIR courses

2017 2018 2019E

5 16 30
A COMMUNITY OF FORWARD THINKING EXPERTS

STRONG MEMBERSHIP GROWTH

Membership Growth
Actual & Projected

FAIR EMERGING AS RISK MODEL OF CHOICE

Fortune 1000 Co.s Represented at the FAIR Institute

2018

2020 (Proj.)

LOCAL CHAPTERS MULTIPLYING

New in 2018

VELOCITY INCREASING

Number of Months to Gain 1,000 New Members

FAIR SPREADING VIRALLY

Sources of New Members

- Referrals, Internet Searches, Social Media
- Direct

FORMING THE NEXT-GENERATION OF LEADERS

Universities offering FAIR courses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Membership Growth
Actual & Projected

Number of Months to Gain 1,000 New Members

Sources of New Members

- Referrals, Internet Searches, Social Media
- Direct

Universities offering FAIR courses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
“This new state of techquilibrium demands an understanding of both the quantitative and qualitative elements of digital risk.”

“Many organizations are now utilizing IRM and FAIR to create risk treatment plans for potential data breach events as they optimize their business” – and beyond the tactical level to the strategic to “develop a successful case for digital transformation.”
The combination of personnel, policies, processes and technologies that enable an organization to cost-effectively achieve and maintain an acceptable level of loss exposure.

Source: “Measuring and Managing Information Risk: A FAIR Approach”
RISK MODELS MATTER

Which Of These Are Risks?

- Point of Sale Attacks
- Hacktivists
- Cloud Computing
- Phishing / Social Engineering
- Insider Threat(s)
- Third-party Risk
- Cyber Criminals
- Mobile Malware
- Application Vulnerabilities
- Business Continuity

Typical Top 10 Technology Risk List
WE CAN ONLY ASSESS THE RISK OF LOSS EVENTS

THREATS \[\rightarrow\] CONTROLS \[\rightarrow\] ASSETS \[\rightarrow\] IMPACT

RISK (LOSS EXPOSURE) SCENARIO
FAIR: A STANDARD RISK ANALYTICS MODEL

RISK

- Loss Event Frequency
  - Threat Event Frequency
    - Contact Frequency
  - Probability of Action
  - Threat Capability
  - Resistance Strength

- Vulnerability
- Primary Loss
- Secondary Risk
  - Secondary Loss Event Frequency
  - Secondary Loss Magnitude

Accredited as an Industry Standard by
Complementary to Risk Frameworks
Supported by a Fast Growing Community
Wide Industry Adoption 30% Fortune 1000
FAIR Book Inducted in Cybersecurity Canon
MUTLIPLE DIMENSIONS OF ROI

USE CASES

- Understand Loss Exposure
- Prioritize Risk Mitigations
- Assess ROI of Security Initiatives
- Meet Cyber Regulations
- Optimize Cyber Insurance Coverage

ROI

- Preserve Value
- Maximize Risk Reduction
- Optimize Cybersecurity Investments
- Pass Compliance Audit
- Facilitate Risk Transfer

DRIVING SMARTER DECISIONS THAT ENHANCE BUSINESS RESILIENCE

Financial Impact

- $80M
- $71M RISK REDUCTION
- $2M INVESTMENT
- $9M

IT Security Investment
- Current Risk
- Reduced Risk

Copyright 2020 RiskLens, Inc.
RiskLens - author of FAIR, Technical Advisor of the FAIR Institute, expert solutions provider to the Fortune 1000

Standardized the best practices for enterprise adoption of FAIR into a suite of SaaS Solutions based on the **RiskLens FAIR Enterprise Model™ (RF-EM™)**

The RiskLens FAIR Enterprise Model™ (RF-EM™) Components
DRIVE BETTER COMMUNICATION & DECISION MAKING

**HOW MUCH RISK DO WE HAVE?**

- 10th Percentile: $50K
- Most Likely: $260K
- 90th Percentile: $480K

**WHAT ARE OUR TOP RISKS?**

- Non-Web Application Exposure of NPI in red
- Release for Theft/Threat of Internal NPI Data
- Exposure to Vulnerabilities in Raiser Internet Facing ID Applications
- Release for Theft of Internal ID/Database
- Non-Release of NPI
- Data Breach with Increase in Internal ID Database

**HOW IS RISK TRENDING VS APPETITE?**

- Sept 2018: Risk appetite $10K
- Feb 2019: Risk appetite $10K

**HAVE WE REDUCED RISK?**

- Current Annualized Loss Exposure
- Premium Annualized Loss Exposure

**WHAT TYPES OF LOSS CAN WE EXPECT?**

- Primary Forms of Loss
  - Competitive Advantage
  - Reputation
  - Fees and Judgments
  - Response
  - Replacement
  - Productivity

**WHAT IS THE COST-BENEFIT OF THIS PROJECT?**

- Financial Impact: $428K
- Risk Reduction: $234K
- Investment:
  - Min
  - 10%
  - 25%
  - 50%
  - 75%
  - Max

Copyright © 2020 RiskLens, Inc.
WHAT ARE THE GOALS OF YOUR RISK MANAGEMENT PROGRAM?

**RISK LANDSCAPE CLARITY**
- Top Risks Identification
- Audit Findings Prioritization
- Policy Exception Request Reviews
- Emerging Threat Analysis

**OPERATIONAL DECISION SUPPORT**
- Top Risk Assessments
- Comparative Analysis
- Cost-Benefit Analysis
- High-Value 3rd Party Analysis

**STRATEGIC DECISION SUPPORT**
- Risk Aggregation and Trending
- Risk Appetite Definition
- Risk Portfolio Analysis
- Board Reporting

**AUTOMATED DECISION SUPPORT**
- Real-Time Risk Dashboard
- Controls Management
- 3rd Party Landscape Monitoring

Source: RiskLens FAIR Enterprise Model™

21 Copyright 2020 RiskLens, Inc.
QUANTITATIVE RISK MANAGEMENT PROGRAM

PROGRAM GOALS
- ROLES & RESPONSIBILITIES
- DEPENDENCIES

QUANTITATIVE (FAIR) FUNDAMENTALS
- RISK ANALYST TRAINING
- ORIENTATION & AWARENESS

ONBOARDING
- CONFIGURATION
- DATA LIBRARIES

CROWN JEWELS & TOP RISKS IDENTIFICATION
- TOP QUANTITATIVE RISK ASSESSMENTS
- RISK ASSESSMENT WORKFLOWS

RISK APPETITE
- MONITORING & REPORTING
- PROGRAM SUCCESS

Source: RiskLens FAIR Enterprise Model™
CYBER RISK ECONOMICS IS HERE
Privacy and Computer Security Issues: A State Enforcement Perspective

Gene Fishel
Senior Assistant Attorney General Chief,
Computer Crime Section
Virginia Attorney General’s Office
Outline

• Database Breaches
• Identity Theft
• Phishing
• Computer Trespass
• Computer Fraud
Database breaches
Who's behind the breaches?

- 73% perpetrated by outsiders
- 28% involved internal actors
- 2% involved partners
- 2% featured multiple parties
- 50% of breaches were carried out by organized criminal groups
- 12% of breaches involved actors identified as nation-state or state-affiliated
What tactics are utilized?

- 48% of breaches featured hacking
- 30% included malware
- 17% of breaches had errors as causal events
- 17% were social attacks
- 12% involved privilege misuse
- 11% of breaches involved physical actions
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>24%</td>
<td>Breaches affected healthcare organizations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15%</td>
<td>Breaches involved accommodation and food services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14%</td>
<td>Breaches of public sector entities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58%</td>
<td>Victims are categorized as small businesses</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Database breach laws

- 50 state laws +
- Virginia Code §18.2-186.6
- Pertinent provisions
  - Applies to any legal entity; broad application
  - Unencrypted data accessed or acquired by unauthorized person (only electronic data)
  - Must have caused or reasonably believe will cause fraud or identity theft to resident
  - Must notify Atty General’s Office and affected resident without unreasonable delay
Database breach laws

• Pertinent provisions
  – Law enforcement delay acceptable
  – Provisions also apply to encrypted data acquired in an unencrypted form or if person has access to the encryption key
  – If more than 1,000 affected residents, must also notify consumer reporting agencies
Database breach laws

• Pertinent provisions
  – Data = “personal information” to include name, SSN, financial acct/credit card numbers along with access code, driver’s license number
  – Tax identification numbers and tax withheld (to counter prevalent payroll breaches / IRS scams)
  – July 1 = passport numbers, military ID numbers
Database breach laws

• Pertinent provisions
  – Notice = written, electronic, telephone or substitute
  – Substitute notice = over $50K in cost, over 100,000 residents, or no sufficient contact info; can then post conspicuously on website, or notify statewide media
Database breach laws

• Pertinent provisions
  – Notice must include:
    • Incident in general terms
    • Type of information accessed
    • The general acts of entity to prevent further unauthorized access
    • Telephone number for affected persons to call
    • Advice directing person to remain vigilant of accounts and monitor free credit reports
Database breach laws

• Pertinent provisions
  – Attorney General’s Office can bring civil enforcement action for failure to comply with notice provisions
  – $150,000 penalty per breach
  – Does not prohibit affected residents from filing individual claims
Enforcer’s perspective

- 950 database breach notices received in VA in 2019 (767 in 2018)
- Broad cross-section of industry
- Lost equipment, theft, intrusion are most common occurrences
- Small breaches dominate
Enforcer’s perspective

- From one resident to over 1 million residents affected in a single breach
- Work with your attorneys
- Contact law enforcement
- Work with our office
Recent judgments

• UBER
  – Intentionally concealed breach for over one year
  – Driver’s license numbers involved
  – 20,000 Virginia drivers affected
  – Paid $3 million to Virginia in penalties

• BOMBAS
  – Did not report for three years (unintentional)
  – Credit card numbers of 1,200 Virginians
  – Paid $25,000 in penalties to Virginia
Identity theft
Consumer Sentinel Network Data Book 2018

**Top Three Categories**
1. Imposter scams
2. Debt collection
3. Identity theft

**1.4 million fraud reports**
- 25% reported a loss
- **$1.48 billion total fraud losses**
- **$375 median loss**

**Younger people reported losing money to fraud more often than older people.**
- 43% Age 20-29
- 15% Age 70-79

But when people aged 70+ had a loss, the median loss was much higher.
- Age 20-29: $400
- 70-79: $750
- 80+: $1,700

**Imposter Scams**
- Nearly 1 in 5 people lost money
- $488 million reported lost
- $500 median loss

**Identity Theft**
- 24% Credit card new account fraud
- 38% Tax fraud

Federal Trade Commission - ftc.gov/data
Of the 1,427,563 total fraud reports in 2018, 48% included consumer age information.
Virginia

Top Ten Report Categories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Imposter Scam</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debt Collection</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identity Theft</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone and Mobile</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Services</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Banks and Lenders</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mail-Fraud</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auto Related</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prizes, Sweepstakes and</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lotteries</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Credit Bureau, information</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others and Report Users</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internet Services</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fraud & Other Reports

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Reports per 100K Population</th>
<th>Fraud Losses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13th</td>
<td>56,135</td>
<td>$28.0M</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Median Fraud Losses

56,135
$368

Fraud & Other Reports by Metropolitan Area

Identity Theft Reports

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Credit Card Fraud</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Identity Theft</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phone or Utilities</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fraud</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bank Fraud</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment or Tax-Related</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

25th
8,196
Identity Theft Reports
Identity theft

A. Unlawful for any person, without authorization to:

1. Obtain, record or access identifying information which is not available to the general public that would assist in accessing financial resources…;

2. Obtain money, credit, loans, goods or services through the use of identifying information of such other person;

3. Obtain identification documents in such other person's name
Identity theft

A. Identifying Information

(i) name;
(ii) date of birth;
(iii) social security number;
(iv) driver's license number;
(v) bank account numbers;
(vi) credit or debit card numbers;
(vii) personal identification numbers (PIN);
(viii) electronic identification codes;
(ix) automated or electronic signatures;
(x) biometric data;
(xi) fingerprints;
(xii) passwords; or
(xiii) any other numbers or information that can be used to access a person's financial resources, obtain identification, act as identification, or obtain money, credit, loans, goods or services.
Identity theft

- Penalties
  - Up to 12 months jail
  - If over $200, one to five years imprisonment
  - If 50 or more person’s identifying info stolen, one to five years
  - One to 10 years if information is used to commit another crime
Identity theft

What can you do?

• Protect your social security number
• Use caution when giving out personal info (phishing)
• Treat your trash carefully
• Protect your postal mail
• Check your bank statements often
Identity theft

What can you do? cont.

• Check your credit reports (1 free report annually)
  – Annualcreditreport.com (recommended by FTC)

• Protect your computer (firewall, anti-virus, lock wireless networks)

• Use some plain common sense (i.e. too good to be true)
Identity theft

How to spot it:

• You see withdrawals from your bank account that you can’t explain
• You don’t get your bills or other mail
• Debt collectors call you about debts that aren’t yours
• You find unfamiliar accounts or charges on your credit report
Identity theft

Where to report it:

- Creditors (card issuers and utilities)
- Credit bureaus
- Federal Trade Commission (FTC)
- Local/State law enforcement
- Office of the Attorney General
Phishing

- Using a computer to gather identifying information
  - A. Unlawful to use a computer to obtain, access, or record, through the use of material artifice, trickery or deception, any identifying information – one to five years imprisonment
  - B. Distribution of material – one to 10 years
  - C. Uses such information to commit another crime – one to ten years
Dear Wells Fargo Customer,

We recently reviewed your account and suspect that your Wells Fargo account may have been accessed from an unauthorized computer.

This may be due to changes in your IP address or location. Protecting the security of your account and of the Wells Fargo network is our primary concern.

We are asking you to immediately log in and report any unauthorized withdrawals and check your account profile to make sure no changes have been made.

To protect your account please follow the instructions below:

*LOG OFF AFTER USING YOUR ONLINE ACCOUNT

Please log in your account by clicking on the link below.

https://onlinebank-wellsfargo.com/signon

Verify the information you entered is correct.

We apologize for any inconvenience this may cause and appreciate your cooperation.
Phishing

- Supervisor scams
  - Posing as supervisor
  - Requests transfer money, bank account numbers, payroll info
- Employee test emails
- If unsure, check with actual source: don’t hit reply
Likelihood of clicking based on previous performance

Figure 13. Click rates of users based on historical performance in phishing tests (n=2,771,850)
Computer trespass

- Unlawful, with malicious intent, to:
  - Remove, halt or disable computer data or program
  - Cause a network to malfunction
  - Alter, disable or erase computer data, programs or software
  - Effect the creation or alteration of financial instruments
  - Use a computer to cause physical injury to property
  - Use a computer to make unauthorized copy
  - Install keystroke logger
  - Install software to take control of computer in order to cause damage or disrupt transmissions
Computer trespass

- Penalties:
  – Up to 12 months jail
  – Damage over $1K, one to five years imprisonment
  – Installs software on more than five computers, one to five years
  – Keystroke logger violation, one to five years
  – Exception for ISPs
Days receiving malware per organization

Most organizations receive malware on six or fewer days per year.

Fewer than 1.8% of organizations receive malware every other day or more.

Figure 19. Days receiving malware per organization (n=128,131)

Frequency of malware vectors

- Email: 92.4%
- Web: 6.3%
- Other: 1.3%

Figure 21. Frequency of malware vectors within detected malware (n=58,987,788)
Computer trespass

- Preventative measures:
  - Employee training
  - Updated security software
  - Firewalls
  - Strong passwords or two-factor authentication
  - Encryption vs. plain text
  - Tabletop exercises
Computer fraud

- Use a computer without authority to:
  – Obtain property or services by false pretenses
  – Embezzle or commit larceny
  – Convert the property of another

• Value is $200 or more – one to 10 years imprisonment
• Otherwise up to 12 months in jail
VA computer crimes

-Civil remedy

• Any individual wronged by any violation of aforementioned prohibitions may bring suit
• For any damages sustained and cost of suit
• Loss of profits
• Malicious intent NOT required
RESOURCES

VA Office of the Attorney General
http://www.ag.virginia.gov

Internet Crime Complaint Center
http://www.ic3.gov

Federal Trade Commission (FTC)
http://www.ftc.gov
Thank You
Gene Fishel
Senior Assistant Attorney General
Virginia Attorney General’s Office
sfishel@oag.state.va.us
804-786-2071

www.ag.virginia.gov

DISCLAIMER: The information provided in this presentation is not intended as legal advice and does not represent an official or unofficial OAG opinion.
New Security Services

Bill Stewart
Managed Security Services

CAM and BRM Joint Meeting
Jan. 28, 2020
On **Jan. 13, 2020**, the approved modernization plan for endpoint network security tools will be deployed to agency devices.

- Servers will be updated during your regular patching window as to not disrupt normal operations

- The new security tools will be deployed to agency workstations using the SCCM. The deployment will be completed over several weeks. The proposed schedule will be provided soon.
What is provided under the new endpoint security tool suite? ($$- Elective service with additional cost)

- Modernized version of existing services
  - Desktop antivirus/firewall/host intrusion prevention
  - Server antivirus/firewall
  - Endpoint compliance
  - Application whitelisting (formerly WWLS/ESOSS); $$
  - Drive encryption; $$ in some instances
- And some future services
  - Enhanced data loss prevention; $$
  - File and folder encryption; $$
  - Server host intrusion prevention
How are the new endpoint security tools different from anti-virus?
- The endpoint security tools provide a much greater level of threat protection than the previous anti-virus solution. The new security tools provide quicker response to threats, less false positives and a more proactive response.

How do the new endpoint security tools impact agency end users?
- The endpoint security tools detection and response services will have minimal impact to the end users
Upcoming Events
IS Orientation

March 31, 2020
1 - 3 p.m.
Room 1211

Register @:
http://vita2.virginia.gov/registration/Session.cfm?MeetingID=10
Presenter: Marlon Cole
Future ISOAG

March 4, 2020 @ CESC 1 – 4 p.m.

Speakers: - Victoria Yan Pillitteri, NIST
            Servio F. Medina, Health Information Technology (HIT)
            Mark Martens, VITA
            Eric Culbertson, ATOS

ISOAG meets the first Wednesday of each month in 2020
Registration for the 2020 Commonwealth of Virginia (COV) Information Security Conference is now open. The 2020 conference will be held April 16 and 17 at the Altria Theater in Richmond. The call for papers has been issued and the conference committee is now accepting submissions through February 21.

Registration Fee: $175

Conference and registration information can be found on the link below.

Send your call for papers questions to: isconferencecfp@vita.virginia.gov

For all other conference questions: covsecurityconference@vita.virginia.gov
THANK YOU FOR ATTENDING