

**Commonwealth Information Security Council
Identity and Access & Account Management Meeting
April 11, 2008
11:00-12:30
Washington Conference Room CESC**

IAM Committee members attending:

Maria Batista, DMV
David Hines, SCV
Joel McPherson, DSS
Chris Nicholl, VEC
John Willinger, DMHMRSAS
Mike Garner, TAX
James Austin, VDOT
Tripp Simms, VITA
Marie Greenberg, SCC
Easton Rhodd, VITA *
Ajay Rohatgi, VEAP *

IAM Committee members absent:

Also attending:

Cathie Brown, VITA
Peggy Ward, VITA
Judy Napier, Governors Office

*** Teleconferenced**

PKI – Marie Greenberg, SCC

Marie addressed the issue of the PKI (encrypted email) solution presented by Don Drew (messaging) at the ISOAG on 08 April 08. Discussed was the confusion surrounding the partnership offering of Microsoft PKI presented at the ISOAG meeting. The solution presented does not follow the IAM Trust Model as outlined by the IAM committee.

• Tasking from this discussion was:

1. Tripp to compare PKI SSD and Trust Model and provide filtered version to the committee and council for review.

Collaboration w/VEAP for E-Notary/E-signature – David Hines, SCV

Discussed IAM committee participation in the e-notary/e-signature initiative. Committee voted to remain linked to VEAP in support of their initiative to provide

assistance if needed. David briefed the committee on the North Carolina E-Notary initiative. Discussed that NC appears to have fully functional e-notary program in place with comprehensive documentation to support it. David suggested that it might be beneficial for VEAP to contact Ozie Stallworth to do webex on how they accomplished their e-notary project, possible pitfalls, things to do, lessons learned, etc...

Next steps for the IAM Committee- ALL

Discussed if the IAM committee still had a purpose and would provide any useful tools for the COV. It was proposed that the IAM Trust Model was a necessary guideline for the COV as we move forward with PKI, e-notary, e-signature. Chris pointed out that the model is really identity validation/verification and does not address access and trust across a federated environment of systems and domains. Discussion centered around if the IAM model was complete and what the next logical component should be. Tripp stated that there is a need for the committee to continue as no other entity in the COV is addressing the issue and our efforts are needed.

- **Tasking from this discussion was:**

1. Chris and Tripp to identify next logical step with the IAM Trust Model to ensure all components of an Identity and Access and Account Management model have been identified.
2. Marie to forward formatted (by VITA) Trust Model for review by committee prior to submitting back to Peggy for ORCA.