



PSAP Grant Committee

April 14, 2016



Agenda

- Call to Order
- Minutes
- Financial and Programmatic Reporting
- Grant Extension Requests
- FY18 PSAP Grant Guidelines Review
- Newport News/Hampton – Host Agent
- Rockbridge – Exception Request
- Report to the Board
- Public Comment
- Adjourn



Financial and Programmatic Reports & Grant Extension Requests





Financial and Programmatic Reports

- Received a report from all of the PSAPs with an open FY15 or FY16 grant award.
- ISP staff has reviewed all of the submitted reports and is recommending that the reports be accepted and that no further action is required.



Grant Extension Requests

- Buchanan
- Colonial Heights
- Gloucester
- Greene
- Newport News
- Page
- Twin County



FY18 PSAP Grant Guidelines





NG9-1-1 GIS Project Definition

- Must relate directly to the preparation of data based on approved NG9-1-1 data standards, applicable data models, or GIS best practices and include a data maintenance plan.
- These projects should not include any day to day geospatial maintenance activities.
- Allowable items include those related to data production (enterprise software/hardware, GIS tools, data format conversion and manipulation) and data transfer.



NG9-1-1 GIS Project Grant Ranking

- Grant ranks for NG9-1-1 GIS projects have been added:
 - Shared Services projects are Rank 15
 - Individual PSAP projects are Rank 16



Mission Critical NG9-1-1 GIS Data

- Only NG9-1-1 GIS projects that focus on the following data categories will be funded:
 - boundary issues
 - road centerline
 - address points
- These components are the three most critical components of developing NG9-1-1 data.



Priorities

- Priority 2: NG9-1-1 GIS
 - Replaces “NG9-1-1 GIS Data”
- Priority 3: Mapping System
 - Replaces “Mapping System and GIS Equipment”



Definition of Mapping System

- Includes servers, mapping display workstations, and software and miscellaneous hardware associated, if purchased separately to support the maintenance of NG9-1-1 data.



GIS Matrix

- GIS Matrix has been eliminated
 - No longer relevant or of value
- ISP staff will provide examples of NG9-1-1 GIS projects that are eligible for funding



Geo-Diverse Approach

- With shared services projects, a geo-diverse approach, having operational systems in two or more distinct places within the overall network, ensures that there is no single point of failure and increases the efficiency of call handling and information sharing through better integration with backup, disaster recovery and overflow operations.



Geo-Diverse Approach

- The goal is to provide maximum system survivability and data traffic and bandwidth usage.
- The applicant must justify the location of the required system servers.
- A geodiverse network does not, and should not, require a server in every location.
- Any exception to this must be justified in the grant application.



Geo-Diverse Approach

Input received from PGC: Geo-diverse Approach – means that when used with shared services projects, a geo-diverse approach, results in a single system operationally but core functional elements of the single system reside in physically diverse geographical locations within a network. The intent is to move towards sharing the core elements of a system among locations rather than buying separate stand-alone systems. A geo-diverse approach ensures there is no single point of failure and increases the efficiency of call handling and information sharing through better integration with backup, disaster recovery and overflow operations. The goal is to provide maximum system survivability and data traffic and bandwidth usage. The applicant must justify the location of the required system servers. A geo-diverse approach is not satisfied by purchasing two separate systems and networking them together. Any exception to a geo-diverse approach must be justified in the grant application.



Shared Services Projects

- Additional language added:
Shared services projects that consist solely of a network solution and only associated network equipment (switches, routers, network connections etc.) will be prioritized as a Strengthen (tier level) project.



Memorandum of Understanding

- An MOU is a formal document that guides and directs the parties of a Physical Consolidation Project in regards to their affiliation and working relationship, inclusive of anticipated future arrangements, for the purposes outlined in the grant application submitted by the parties.



Multi-Jurisdictional Agreement

- An MA is a formal document that guides and directs the parties of a Shared Services Project in regards to their affiliation and working relationship, inclusive of anticipated future arrangements, for the purposes outlined in the grant application submitted by the parties.

Other Definitions

- Removed all footnotes and included information in Definitions section:
 - CHE
 - Input received from PGC:** Call Handling Equipment (CHE) - must be NG9-1-1 ready, as defined in the guidelines. Network projects, that exclude CHE or ESInet and next generation core services (NGCS) capabilities, will not be funded individually. ESInet and NGCS are considered a part of the CHE project. UPS can be purchased only with CHE, Mapping or CAD projects and must be “workstation” grade, not facilities grade. Time sync can be purchased separately from CHE.
 - Text-to-9-1-1
 - Non-Allowable Items



Call Accounting/MIS

- Recommending that Call Accounting/MIS grants only be considered for funding with an approved waiver:
 - Board has already approved funding a statewide solution.
 - ISP staff will be asking the Board at their May12th meeting to require mandatory participation, unless a waiver is granted.



Other items

- New PSAP Grant Committee meeting schedule
- 30-day follow-up period
- Business day deadline for WEP/PEP reimbursements
- New Travel Assistance and Meals & Incidental Expenses changes
 - PEP application also updated



Other Guideline Items

- Project Description and Goals/Objectives/Implementation Plan sections have been added
 - Application also updated



Forms

- Annual Progress Report
- Grant Extension Request
- Grant Closure Form
- FY18 PSAP Grant Application
- FY18 PSAP PEP Grant Application
- FY17 NENA Spring Conference Grant Payment Request Form



Newport News/Hampton

- Newport News is the fiscal agent, but Hampton would like to be reimbursed for an invoice because they have the contractual relationship with the vendor. The easiest solution is for VITA to be able to accept the invoice from Hampton and pay them directly.



Rockbridge

- Rockbridge submitted a FY16 grant payment request that included invoices dated prior to July 1, 2015 and denied by VITA
- PSAP has advised that he misunderstood the process. He ordered several items prior to July 1 and anticipated delivery AFTER July 1 and also anticipated invoicing AFTER the July 1 date. It turned out that the invoice was sent 6-22/23-15 but with a NET30 payment so they thought the timing would be acceptable as they would not be asking for payment until after the July 1 date.
- PSAP advised that his RC did make it very clear to that any grant funds would not be available for draw down until after July 1 but it WAS NOT clear that starting the process prior to the July 1 date would preclude the PSAP agency from drawing down funds.
- PSAP is seeking an exception to the dated invoice from the Board.



And In Conclusion

- Report to the Board
- Public Comment
- Announce next PGC meeting dates
 - September 8, 2016 – 1:00 pm – 3:00 pm
 - December 8, 2016 – 10:00 am – 2:00 pm
- Adjourn