



PSAP Grant Committee Meeting

FY19 Grant Application Cycle

December 7, 2017



Agenda

1. Call to Order
2. April 13th Minutes
3. FY19 Grant Application Review
4. FY20 Grant Process
5. Committee Report to the Board
6. Public Comment
7. Adjourn



Consensus Applications Recommended for Funding (Met Guidelines as Submitted)





Met Guidelines as Submitted

- There were a total of 126 FY19 grant applications received, of which 108 met the Guidelines without need for modification or further discussion.
- Staff is seeking consensus from the PGC on the 108 applications.



Consensus Applications Recommended for Funding (Met Guidelines with Modifications - Consensus Sought from PGC)





Met Guidelines w/ Modifications

- There were 4 applications that met the Guidelines with minor modifications post-submission:
 - Farmville – Staff recommended revision of the budget narrative to identify CAD costs
 - Middlesex - This application as submitted is allowable since BCM-450 is known NVS. NVS was letter not submitted, but is on file from previous years.
 - Rockbridge – Locality agreed with staff recommendation to increase the amount of funding requested to allow for 10% contingency
 - Shenandoah - Recommend updating project costs to be consistent with amount requested



**Consensus Applications
Recommended for Funding
(Met Guidelines with Modifications
- Discussion Needed w/ PGC)**





Apps for Further Discussion

During the administrative review of the FY19 applications, there were 7 applications identified need further review or PGC input for recommendation.



Apps for Further Discussion

PSAP Name (or Host)	Project Title (GIS, Fall, Spring, Other for PEP)	Amount Requested	Project Cost	Recommended Amount	PGC Group	Comments for PGC
Emporia	Non-Vendor Supported CHE Upgrade	\$ 150,000.00	\$ 172,555.00	\$ 150,000.00	3	Recommend changing to Text-to-911 implementation project to make eligible for funding.
Prince George	CHE	\$ 150,000.00	\$ 151,562.00	\$ 150,000.00	3	Recommend changing to Text-to-911 implementation project to make eligible for funding.
Buckingham	NG9-1-1 GIS	\$ 23,900.00	\$ 23,900.00	\$ 19,400.00	3	Recommended amount to be reduced by \$4,500 due to non-allowable in budget narrative.
Charles City	Preparation of Public Safety GIS and Location Data for NG911 Support	\$ 83,732.00	\$ 83,732.00	\$ 83,732.00	3	May include ineligible equipment in task #6 to "Establish, automate and document maintenance procedures." Need to ensure PSAP knows limitations of funding.
Cumberland	Cumberland County NG-911 Data Readiness Project	\$ 149,798.00	\$ 149,798.00	\$ 101,358.00	3	Recommended amount to be reduced due to non-allowable items in budget narrative.
New River Valley	NG911	\$ 133,453.00	\$ 133,453.00	\$ 102,427.00	3	Recommended amount to be reduced due to non-allowable items in budget narrative.
Westmoreland	Westmoreland County NG-911 Data Readiness Project	\$ 145,519.00	\$ 145,519.00	\$ 109,579.00	3	Recommended amount to be reduced due to non-allowable items in budget narrative.



Applications Not Recommended





Applications Not Recommended

- There were 7 submissions in which the PSAP withdrew their application or the application failed to meet the FY19 PSAP Grant Guidelines:

Chesapeake	Call Handling Equipment	\$ 145,298.00	\$ 145,298.00	\$ -	4	Applicant withdrew application.
Culpeper	Culpeper GIS NG911 Mapping	\$ 39,340.00	\$ 39,340.00	\$ -	4	Regional GIS Data Sharing project is ineligible for funding.
Farmville	Farmville Area Regional NG-911 Data Sharing Program	\$ 397,875.00	\$ 397,875.00	\$ -	4	Regional GIS Data Sharing project is ineligible for funding.
King and Queen	Eastern Virginia PSAP Boundary Remediation	\$ 32,075.00	\$ 32,075.00	\$ -	4	Ineligible for funding due to multi-year project.
Mathews	Mathews County GIS Training and Equipment	\$ 25,586.00	\$ 25,586.00	\$ -	4	Ineligible for funding due to GPS not being an eligible project.
Orange	Orange County PSAP & Response Boundaries	\$ 65,950.00	\$ 65,950.00	\$ -	4	Ineligible for funding due to multi-year project.
Pittsylvania	CAD	\$ 15,000.00	\$ 15,000.00	\$ -	4	Ineligible for funding due to missed application deadline.



FY20 Grant Process Discussion





NG9-1-1 Funding Program

- Provide funding to Virginia local governments and originating service providers (OSPs) based on cost guidelines for eligible NG9-1-1 implementation expenses during the established implementation and pay-back period



Programmatic Elements

- Program would remain in place through implementation and pay-back period
- Not an annual grant program
- Cost guidelines based on required equipment and services for NG9-1-1 deployment
- Cost estimates to be developed for local governments and OSPs
- No additional local costs to impact budgets



Programmatic Elements

- Localities can choose to “opt out” and select another solution provider
- Funding will be available to localities that select another solution provider
- Adjustments can be made in funding for material change
- Contingency fund for ISP staff to address issues between Board meetings



Eligible Participants

- Virginia local governments
- OSPs



Eligible Uses

- Local Governments
 - One-time costs related to ESI-net set-up and transitioning PSAP off SRs
 - GIS data prep work
 - Recurring costs during transition
 - CHE (if End of Life issued by vendor or upgrade necessary to deploy NG9-1-1)



Continuation of PSAP Grant Program

- Annual PEP would remain in place
- PSAP Grant Program reimbursement requests would continue to be processed for all grant awards
- Begin to discuss transition back to annual grant program during last year of NG9-1-1 deployments
- Consolidation funding would continue to be available



Continuation of PSAP Grant Program

- Board approve FY 20 PSAP Grant Program and NG9-1-1 Funding Program Guidelines at May 2018 meeting



Deployment Milestones

- Create local government implementation plans
- Local governments decide whether or not to utilize Fairfax contract
- Board approve implementation plans and funding estimates
- Participant Agreements



Funding: After Legislative Changes

Deployment Year	Prior Investments 2016	Deployment Year 1 2017	Deployment Year 2 2018	Deployment Year 3 2019	Deployment Year 4 2020	Deployment Year 5 2021	Total	Post Deployment Year 2022	Post Deployment Year 2023	
NG9-1-1 Deployment Costs (Local/State)		\$6,343,325	\$17,279,548	\$21,986,322	\$9,474,152	\$14,045,193	\$69,128,540			
		Incur One-Time Deployment Costs							Pay Down One-time Costs	
Billing Agreement		\$0	\$1,327,633	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,327,633	\$3,651,138	\$3,651,138	
PSAP Grant Program	\$500,000.00	\$1,225,000	\$4,588,434	\$11,427,871	\$12,461,471	\$13,333,551	\$43,036,327	\$11,700,000	\$11,700,000	
Network Improvement		\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,000,000	\$0	\$0	
Funding Gap		(4,118,325)	(10,363,481)	(10,558,451)	2,987,319	(711,642)	(22,764,580)	(7,413,442)	7,937,696	
State Treasury Funding				30,000,000						
Cash Flow		(4,118,325)	(14,481,806)	4,959,743	7,947,062	7,235,420	7,235,420	22,586,558	37,937,696	



Additional Items

- Definitions and development of specific programmatic details consistent with legislative outcome
- Establish process for OSP funding requests and conduct outreach for carrier community
- Review process for funding requests from localities that decide to not utilize the Fairfax contract



Committee Report to the Board





Conclusion

- Public Comment
- Determine 2018 meeting dates
 - February
 - April
 - September
 - December
- Adjourn